arXiv:1108.5616v1 [math.PR] 29 Aug 2011

A conditional quenched CLT for random walks among random conductances on $\mathbb{Z}^d$

Christophe Gallesco [1] Nina Gantert [2] Serguei Popov [3] Marina Vachkovskaia [3]

August 30, 2011

[1] Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, University of São Paulo, rua do Matão 1010, CEP 05508–090, São Paulo SP, Brazil
e-mail: `gallesco@ime.usp.br`
[2] Fakultät für Mathematik, Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstr. 3, 85748 Garching, Germany
e-mail: `gantert@ma.tum.de`
[3] Department of Statistics, Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation, University of Campinas–UNICAMP, rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda 651, 13083–859, Campinas SP, Brazil
e-mail: `popov@ime.unicamp.br`; URL: `http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~popov`
e-mail: `marinav@ime.unicamp.br`; URL: `http://www.ime.unicamp.br/~marinav`

Abstract

Consider a random walk among random conductances on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with $d \geq 2$. We study the quenched limit law under the usual diffusive scaling of the random walk conditioned to have its first coordinate positive. We show that the conditional limit law is the product of a Brownian meander and a $(d-1)$-dimensional Brownian motion.

Keywords: random conductance model, uniform heat kernel bounds, Brownian meander, reversibility

AMS 2000 subject classifications: 60J10, 60K37

1 Introduction and results

In this paper we study random walks on a d-dimensional integer lattice with *random conductances*. One can briefly describe the model in the following way: initially, weights (i.e., some nonnegative numbers) are attached to the edges of the lattice at random. The transition probabilities are then defined to be proportional to the weights, thus obtaining a reversible Markov chain; due to a well-known correspondence between reversible Markov chains and electric networks, the weights are also called conductances. We refer to the collection of all conductances as "environment". This model attracted considerable attention recently, and, in particular, quenched (i.e., for fixed environment) functional central limit theorems and heat kernel estimates were obtained in rather general situations, see e.g. [1, 2, 4, 11] and references therein. To prove the quenched functional CLT, one usually uses the so-called corrector approach, described in the following way. First, one constructs an auxiliary random field (which depends only on the environment), with the following property: the sum of the corrector and the random walk is a martingale, for which it is not difficult to show the CLT. Then, using the Ergodic Theorem, one shows that the corrector is likely to be small in comparison to the random walk itself.

While this approach has been quite fruitful, it also has its limitations, mainly due to the fact that the construction of the corrector is not very explicit. For example, the similar problem of proving the quenched CLT for the random walk with i.i.d. conductances in *half-space* seems to be still open, even though a similar continuous space-and-time problem was solved quite recently [14]. It is therefore important to go beyond the usual setup, proving other types of limit laws. In this paper, we continue the line of research of [8] (which was, by its turn, mainly motivated by [6, 7]), where a one-dimensional model with random conductances (but with unbounded jumps) was considered.

We now define the model formally. For x, $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $d \geq 2$, we write $x \sim y$ if x and y are neighbors in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and we let $\mathbb{B}_d$ be the set of unordered nearest-neighbor pairs (x, y) of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $(\omega_b)_{b\in\mathbb{B}_d}$ be non-negative random variables; $\mathbb{P}$ stands for the law of this family. We assume that $\mathbb{P}$ is stationary and ergodic with respect to the family of shifts $(\theta_x, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$. The quantity ω_b is usually called the *conductance* of the edge b. The collection of all conductances $\omega = (\omega_b)_{b\in\mathbb{B}_d}$ is called the *environment*. If $x \sim y$, we will also write $\omega_{x,y}$ to refer to the conductance between x and y. For a particular realization ω of our environment, we define $\pi_x = \sum_{y\sim x} \omega_{x,y}$. Given that $\pi_x \in (0, \infty)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ (which is $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. the case by Condition E below), the random walk X in environment ω is defined through its transition probabilities

$$q_\omega(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega_{x,y}}{\pi_x}, & \text{if } y \sim x, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

that is, if $\mathtt{P}^x_\omega$ is the quenched law of the random walk starting from x, we have

$$\mathtt{P}^x_\omega[X(0) = x] = 1, \quad \mathtt{P}^x_\omega[X(k + 1) = z \mid X(k) = y] = q_\omega(y, z).$$

Clearly, this random walk is $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. reversible with the reversible measure $(\pi_x, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d)$. Also, we denote by $\mathtt{E}^x_\omega$ the quenched expectation for the process starting from x. When the random walk starts from 0, we use the shorter notations $\mathtt{P}_\omega, \mathtt{E}_\omega$.

In order to prove our results, we need to make the *strong ellipticity* assumption on the environment:

Condition E. There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $\kappa < \omega_{0,x} < \kappa^{-1}$ for $x \sim 0$.

At this point we mention that under Condition E, we can apply Theorem 1.7 of [5] to the random walks $Y(n) := X(2n)$ and $Y'(n) := X(2n + 1)$, to obtain that uniform heat kernel lower and upper bounds are available for this model. That is, there exist absolute constants C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 such that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$q^n_\omega(x, y) \leq \frac{C_1}{n^{d/2}} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{\|x - y\|^2}{n} \Big\} \tag{1}$$

and if $\|x - y\|_1 \leq n$ and has the same parity as n,

$$q^n_\omega(x, y) \geq \frac{C_3}{n^{d/2}} \exp\Big\{ - C_4 \frac{\|x - y\|^2}{n} \Big\} \tag{2}$$

with $\|\cdot\|$ the euclidian norm and $\|\cdot\|_1$ the 1-norm on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. These estimates will be very useful to prove technical lemmas in Section 2.

For all $n \geq 1$, we define the continuous map $Z^n(t) = ((Z^n_1(t), \dots, Z^n_d(t)), t \in [0, 1])$ as the natural polygonal interpolation of the map $k/n \mapsto \sigma^{-1}n^{-1/2}X(k)$ (with σ from the quenched CLT, see for example [4]). In other words,

$$\sigma\sqrt{n}Z^n(t) = X(\lfloor nt\rfloor) + (nt - \lfloor nt\rfloor)X(\lfloor nt\rfloor + 1)$$

with $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ the integer part. Also, we denote by $W^{(d)} = (W_1, \dots, W_d)$ the d-dimensional standard Brownian motion. Next, denoting by $C([0,1])$ the space of continuous functions from $[0,1]$ into $\mathbb{R}^d$, by $\mathfrak{C}_b(C([0,1]),\mathbb{R})$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{C}_b^u(C([0,1]),\mathbb{R})$) the space of bounded continuous (respectively, bounded uniformly continuous) functionals from $C([0,1])$ into $\mathbb{R}$ and by $\mathcal{B}_1$ the Borel σ-field on $C([0,1])$, we have the following result, which is referred to as quenched Uniform Central Limit Theorem (UCLT):

Theorem 1.1 *Under Condition E, the following statements hold and are equivalent:*

(i) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $H > 0$ and any $F \in \mathfrak{C}_b(C([0,1]),\mathbb{R})$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \left| \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W^{(d)})] \right| = 0;$$

(ii) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $H > 0$ and any $F \in \mathfrak{C}_b^u(C([0,1]),\mathbb{R})$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \left| \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W^{(d)})] \right| = 0;$$

(iii) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $H > 0$ and any closed set B,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in B] \le P[W^{(d)} \in B];$$

(iv) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $H > 0$ and any open set G,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in G] \ge P[W^{(d)} \in G];$$

(v) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $H > 0$ and any $A \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $P[W^{(d)} \in \partial A] = 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \left| \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in A] - P[W^{(d)} \in A] \right| = 0.$$

The UCLT will be useful in order to prove the main result of this paper (see Theorem 1.2 below).

Denoting $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$, we define

$$\hat{\tau} = \inf\{k \ge 1 : X_1(k) = 0\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_n = \{\hat{\tau} > n\} = \{X_1(k) > 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Consider the conditional quenched probability measure $Q_\omega^n[\,\cdot\,] := \mathtt{P}_\omega[\,\cdot \mid \Lambda_n]$, for all $n \ge 1$. For each n, the random map Z^n induces a probability measure μ_ω^n on $(C[0,1], \mathcal{B}_1)$: for any $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$,

$$\mu_\omega^n(A) := Q_\omega^n[Z^n \in A].$$

Let us next recall the formal definition of the Brownian meander W^+. For this, define $\tau_1 = \sup\{s \in [0,1] : W_1(s) = 0\}$ and $\Delta_1 = 1 - \tau_1$. Then,

$$W^+(s) := \Delta_1^{-1/2} |W_1(\tau_1 + s\Delta_1)|, \qquad 0 \le s \le 1.$$

We denote by $P_{W^+} \otimes P_{W^{(d-1)}}$ the product law of Brownian meander and $(d-1)$-dimensional standard Brownian motion on the time interval $[0,1]$. Now, we are ready to formulate the quenched invariance principle for the random walk conditioned to stay positive, which is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.2 *Under Condition E, we have that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., μ_ω^n tends weakly to $P_{W^+} \otimes P_{W^{(d-1)}}$ as $n \to \infty$ (as probability measures on $C[0,1]$).*

In the next section, we prove some auxiliary results which are necessary for the proof of Theorem 1.2. In section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, in Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We will denote by C_1, C_2, ... the "global" constants, that is, those that are used all along the paper and by γ, γ_1, γ_2, ... the "local" constants, that is, those that are used only in the subsection in which they appear for the first time. For the local constants, we restart the numeration in the beginning of each subsection.

Also, whenever the context is clear, to avoid heavy notations, we will not put the integer part symbol $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$. For example, for $\delta \in (0,1)$ we will write $X(\delta n)$ instead of $X(\lfloor \delta n \rfloor)$.

2 Auxiliary results

In this section, we will prove some technical results that will be needed later to prove Theorem 1.2. Let us introduce the following notations. First, for a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a < b$, we denote by $[\![a, b]\!]$ the set $[a,b] \cap \mathbb{Z}$. Vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^d$ will be denoted by x, y or z. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we denote by $x_1, \dots, x_d$ its coordinates and we write $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ for the canonical unit vectors of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. For $l \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$\{l\}_j = \begin{cases} \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x_j = \lfloor l \rfloor\}, & \text{if } l \geq 0, \\ \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x_j = -\lfloor l \rfloor\}, & \text{if } l < 0, \end{cases}$$

for $j \in [\![1, d]\!]$. If $F \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, let us define

$$\tau_F = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X(n) \in F\}$$

and

$$\tau_F^+ = \inf\{n \geq 1 : X(n) \in F\}.$$

We first prove the following lemma, which gives a uniform lower bound for the probability of progressing in direction $\mathbf{e}_1$ before backstepping to the hyperplane $\{0\}_1$.

Lemma 2.1 *There exists a constant $C_5 > 0$ such that we can choose a sufficiently small $v > 0$ (independently of l) in such a way that we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., $\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{(v+1)l\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] \geq C_5$ uniformly in $y \in \{l\}_1$, for all integers $l > 1$.*

Proof. We will prove that there exist a constant $\gamma > 0$ and $l^* > 1$ such that we have, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for a well chosen $v > 0$, $\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{(v+1)l\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] \geq \gamma$ uniformly in $y \in \{l\}_1$, for all integers $l > l^*$. By Condition E, the statement of Lemma 2.1 easily follows from this result.

For the moment, let $v \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and fix $l > 1$ such that $vl \geq 1$. Then, consider $w \in (v + 3l^{-2}, 1]$. We start by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{(v+1)l\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] &\geq \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[X_1(wl^2) \geq (v+1)l, \tau_{\{0\}_1} > wl^2] \\ &\geq \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[X_1(wl^2) \geq (v+1)l] - \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{0\}_1} \leq wl^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Next, let us define $\nu := \lfloor wl^2 \rfloor$ if $\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor$ is even or $\nu := \lfloor wl^2 \rfloor + 1$ otherwise. In the same way, we define $\rho := \lfloor vl \rfloor$ if $\lfloor vl \rfloor$ is even or $\lfloor vl \rfloor + 1$ otherwise. Observe that in any of these cases,

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[X_1(wl^2) \geq (v+1)l] \geq \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[X_1(\nu) > l + \rho]. \tag{4}$$

We will bound the term of the right-hand side of (4) from below. For $y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(y)$ the set of vectors $z \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ that satisfy the following conditions: $z_1 - y_1 > \rho$, $\|y-z\|_1$ is even and $\|y-z\|_1 \le \nu$ (observe that, as $w > v + 3l^{-2}$, $\mathcal{P}(y)$ is not empty). We have for $y \in \{l\}_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{P}^y_\omega[X_1(\nu) > l+\rho] &= \sum_{z\in\mathcal{P}(y)} \mathsf{P}^y_\omega[X(\nu) = z] \\
&= \sum_{z\in\mathcal{P}(y)} \mathsf{P}_{\theta_y\omega}[X(\nu) = z-y] \\
&= \sum_{u\in\mathcal{P}(0)} \mathsf{P}_{\theta_y\omega}[X(\nu) = u]. \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2) to the last line of (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{P}^y_\omega[X_1(\nu) > l+\rho] &\ge \frac{C_3}{\nu^{d/2}} \sum_{u\in\mathcal{P}(0)} \exp\Big\{-C_4\frac{\|u\|^2}{\nu}\Big\} \\
&\ge \frac{C_3}{\nu^{d/2}} \sum_{x_1=\frac{\rho+2}{2}}^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \sum_{x_2=0}^{\frac{\nu}{2}-x_1} \cdots \sum_{x_d=0}^{\frac{\nu}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}x_i} \exp\Big\{-4C_4\frac{\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2}{\nu}\Big\} \\
&\ge \frac{C_3}{\nu^{d/2}} \int_{\frac{\rho+2}{2}}^{\frac{\nu}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{\nu}{2}-x_1} \cdots \int_0^{\frac{\nu}{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}x_i} \exp\Big\{-4C_4\frac{\sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2}{\nu}\Big\} dx_d \dots dx_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, making the change of variables $u_i = 2\nu^{-1/2}x_i$ for $i \in [\![1,d]\!]$ in the last integral we obtain

$$\mathsf{P}^y_\omega[X_1(\nu) > l+\rho] \ge \frac{C_3}{2^d} \int_{\frac{\rho+2}{\nu^{1/2}}}^{\nu^{1/2}} \int_0^{\nu^{1/2}(1-\frac{u_1}{2})} \cdots \int_0^{\nu^{1/2}(1-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\frac{u_i}{2})} \exp\Big\{-C_4\sum_{i=1}^d u_i^2\Big\} du_d \dots du_1.$$

Since $w > v$ and $vl \ge 1$ we have $\nu \ge 1$. Further, $wl^2 \ge 2$ due to the definition of w. Hence

$$\mathsf{P}^y_\omega[X_1(\nu) > l+\rho] \ge \frac{C_3}{2^d} \int_{1\wedge 4\sqrt{2}vw^{-1/2}}^{1} \int_0^{(1-\frac{u_1}{2})} \cdots \int_0^{(1-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\frac{u_i}{2})} \exp\Big\{-C_4\sum_{i=1}^d u_i^2\Big\} du_d \dots du_1. \qquad (6)$$

For the term $\mathsf{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1} \le wl^2]$ of equation (3), we use the following construction. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the reflection with respect to hyperplane $x_1 = 0$. Consider the reflected environment $\tilde\omega$ constructed from ω in the following way:

$$\tilde\omega_{x,y} = \begin{cases} \omega_{x,y} & \text{if } x_1 \ge 0 \text{ and } y_1 \ge 0, \\ \omega_{\mathcal{R}x,\mathcal{R}y} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us denote by $\tilde X$ the random walk in the environment $\tilde\omega$ and let $\tilde\tau_{\{0\}} = \inf\{n \ge 0 : \tilde X_n \in \{0\}_1\}$. By construction of $\tilde\omega$, we can couple X and $\tilde X$ in such a way that we have for any $y \in \{l\}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1} \le n] &= \mathsf{P}^y_{\tilde\omega}[\tilde\tau_{\{0\}_1} \le n] \\
&= \mathsf{P}^y_{\tilde\omega}[\tilde\tau_{\{0\}_1} \le n, \tilde X_1(n) \le 0] + \mathsf{P}^y_{\tilde\omega}[\tilde\tau_{\{0\}_1} \le n, \tilde X_1(n) > 0] \\
&\le \mathsf{P}^y_{\tilde\omega}[\tilde X_1(n) \le 0] + \mathsf{P}^y_{\tilde\omega}[\tilde\tau_{\{0\}_1} \le n, \tilde X_1(n) > 0]. \qquad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, denoting by Γ_n the set of paths of length n starting at y and touching the line $\{0\}_1$ and with endpoint in the half plane $x_1 > 0$ and by Γ'_n the set of paths of length n starting at y and with endpoint in the half plane $x_1 < 0$, we have by construction of $\tilde{\omega}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}^y_{\tilde{\omega}}[\tilde{\tau}_{\{0\}_1} \leq n, \tilde{X}_1(n) > 0] &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \mathtt{P}^y_{\tilde{\omega}}[\gamma] \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma'_n} \mathtt{P}^y_{\tilde{\omega}}[\gamma] \\ &= \mathtt{P}^y_{\tilde{\omega}}[\tilde{\tau}_{\{0\}_1} \leq n, \tilde{X}_1(n) < 0] \\ &\leq \mathtt{P}^y_{\tilde{\omega}}[\tilde{X}_1(n) \leq 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then, combining (7) and (8) we deduce

$$\mathtt{P}^y_{\omega}[\tau_{\{0\}} \leq n] \leq 2\mathtt{P}^y_{\tilde{\omega}}[\tilde{X}_1(n) \leq 0]. \tag{9}$$

By (9) and using (1) (which are still valid for the environment $\tilde{\omega}$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}^y_{\omega}[\tau_{\{0\}_1} \leq wl^2] &\leq 2\mathtt{P}^y_{\omega}[\tilde{X}_1(wl^2) \leq 0] \\ &\leq \frac{2C_1}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor^{d/2}} \sum_{x_1 \leq 0} \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{(x_1 - l)^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \prod_{i=2}^{d} \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{(x_i - y_i)^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \\ &= \frac{2C_1}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor^{d/2}} \sum_{x_1 \geq 0} \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{(x_1 + l)^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \prod_{i=2}^{d} \sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{x_i^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \\ &\leq \frac{2^d C_1}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor^{d/2}} \sum_{x_1 \geq l} \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{x_1^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \prod_{i=2}^{d} \sum_{x_i \geq 0} \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{x_i^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Next, we show that it is possible to find a finite positive constant γ_1 such that

$$\gamma_1 \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2} \frac{x^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \geq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{i^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \mathbf{1}\{[i, i+1)\}(x) \tag{11}$$

for all $x \geq 0$. To prove this, it is enough to show that there exists γ_1 such that

$$\gamma_1 \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2} \frac{(x+1)^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \geq \exp\Big\{-C_2 \frac{x^2}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor}\Big\} \tag{12}$$

for all $x \geq 0$. We can see that if $\gamma_1 \geq \exp\{C_2 \lfloor wl^2 \rfloor^{-1}\}$ then inequality (12) is satisfied. Since $\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor \geq 1$, we can choose γ_1 as an absolute constant by taking $\gamma_1 \geq \exp\{C_2\}$. Using (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}^y_{\omega}[\tau_{\{0\}_1} \leq wl^2] &\leq 2C_1 \gamma_1^d \Big(\frac{2\pi}{C_2}\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \int_{\frac{l}{\lfloor wl^2 \rfloor^{1/2}}}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2} t^2\Big\} dt \\ &\leq \gamma_2 \int_{w^{-1/2}}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2} t^2\Big\} dt \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for some positive constant γ_2. Combining (3), (4), (6) and (13) we obtain

$$\mathtt{P}^y_{\omega}[\tau_{\{(v+1)l\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] \geq \frac{C_3}{2^d} \int_{1 \wedge 4\sqrt{2} v w^{-1/2}}^{1} \int_0^{(1 - \frac{u_1}{2})} \cdots \int_0^{(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \frac{u_i}{2})} \exp\Big\{-C_4 \sum_{i=1}^{d} u_i^2\Big\} du_d \ldots du_1$$

$$-\gamma_2 \int_{w^{-1/2}}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt. \tag{14}$$

Let

$$J(v) := \int_{1\wedge 4\sqrt{2}vw^{-1/2}}^{1} \int_0^{(1-\frac{u_1}{2})} \dots \int_0^{(1-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}\frac{u_i}{2})} \exp\Big\{-C_4\sum_{i=1}^{d}u_i^2\Big\}du_d\dots du_1,$$

and observe that for fixed w, we have $J(v) \to J(0) > 0$ as $v \to 0$, since the integrated function is continuous and positive on its domain of integration and the domain of integration of $J(0)$ has Lebesgue measure bounded from below by $2^{-\frac{d(d-1)}{2}}$. Let

$$\eta^* = \max\Big\{\eta \in (0,1] : \gamma_2 \int_{\eta^{-1/2}}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt \leq \frac{1}{4}\frac{C_3 J(0)}{2^d}\Big\}.$$

Now, since $w \in (v + 3l^{-2}, 1]$, we can choose w smaller or equal to η^* only if $v + 3l^{-2} < \eta^*$. If $v \leq \frac{\eta^*}{2} \wedge \frac{1}{4}$, a sufficient condition is to choose l sufficiently large in such a way that $l > \left(\frac{6}{\eta^*}\right)^{1/2}$. Then, if $l > \left(\frac{6}{\eta^*}\right)^{1/2}$ we can choose a sufficiently small w in such a way that the second term of the right-hand side of (14) is smaller than $\frac{1}{4}\frac{C_3 J(0)}{2^d}$. Once we have chosen w, we can choose v sufficiently small in such a way that $J(v) > \frac{J(0)}{2}$. We obtain for all $l > \left(\frac{6}{\eta^*}\right)^{1/2}$,

$$\mathrm{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{(v+1)l\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] \geq \frac{1}{4}\frac{C_3 J(0)}{2^d} > 0.$$

This shows Lemma 2.1. □

For $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$, we denote $N := \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \rfloor$. We next prove an upper bound for the probability that the hitting time of the hyperplane $\{N\}_1$ is larger than $\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n$, given Λ_n.

Lemma 2.2 *There exists a function $f = f(\varepsilon)$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon^{-2}f(\varepsilon) = 0$ such that we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n \mid \Lambda_n] \leq f(\varepsilon).$$

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ and let $A_l = \{\tau_{\{l\}_1} < \tau^+_{\{0\}_1}\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n \mid \Lambda_n] \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\mathrm{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\Big(\mathrm{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} > \alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \Lambda_n] + \mathrm{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \leq \alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \Lambda_n]\Big) \\
&\quad \leq \mathrm{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} > \alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n \mid \Lambda_n] + \frac{1}{\mathrm{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\mathrm{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \leq \alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, A_{2^{-1}N}, \Lambda_n].
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Then, we have by the Markov property

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \leq \alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, A_{2^{-1}N}, \Lambda_n] \\
&\quad = \sum_{y\in\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \sum_{k\leq\lfloor\alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n\rfloor} \mathrm{P}_\omega\Big[X(\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1}) = y, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} = k, \tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, A_{2^{-1}N}, \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\quad = \sum_{y\in\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \sum_{k\leq\lfloor\alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n\rfloor} \mathrm{P}_\omega\Big[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \Lambda_n \mid X(\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1}) = y, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} = k, A_{2^{-1}N}\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad\qquad \times \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[X(\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1}) = y, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} = k, A_{2^{-1}N}\Big] \\
&= \sum_{y\in\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \sum_{k\le\lfloor\alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n - k, \Lambda_{n-k}]\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[X(\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1}) = y, \tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} = k, A_{2^{-1}N}\Big] \\
&\le \max_{y\in\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \max_{k\le\lfloor\alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n - k, \Lambda_{n-k}]\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{2^{-1}N}]. \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, let us bound from above the term $\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n - k, \Lambda_{n-k}]$ uniformly in $y \in \{2^{-1}N\}_1$ and in $k \le \lfloor\alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n\rfloor$. Observe that, since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n - k, \Lambda_{n-k}] &\le \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n, \Lambda_{(1-\alpha)n}] \\
&\le \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} > (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n]. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta := \beta^{-1}\varepsilon$, where β is a positive constant to be determined later. Then, consider ε small enough in such a way that $\delta < (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{1/2}$. Then, divide the time interval $[0, \lfloor(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor]$ into intervals of size $\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor$. Denoting by $S(0, N) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N-1}\{i\}_1$, we obtain by the Markov property

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} > (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n] \\
&\quad\le \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\Big\lfloor\frac{\lfloor(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n\rfloor}{\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor}\Big\rfloor} ((i-1)\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor, i\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor]\Big] \\
&\quad= \prod_{i=1}^{\Big\lfloor\frac{\lfloor(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}n\rfloor}{\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor}\Big\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} \notin ((i-1)\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor, i\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor] \mid \tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} \notin (0, (i-1)\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor]] \\
&\quad\le \Big(\max_{z\in S(0,N)} \mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} > \delta^2 n]\Big)^{\lfloor(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{-2}\rfloor} \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all sufficiently large n. Using (1) we have for all $z \in S(0, N)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} > \delta^2 n] &\le \mathtt{P}^z_\omega[X(\delta^2 n) \in S(0, N)] \\
&= \sum_{x\in S(0,N)} \mathtt{P}^z_\omega[X(\delta^2 n) = x] \\
&\le \frac{C_1}{\lfloor\delta^2 n\rfloor^{d/2}} \sum_{x\in S(0,N)} \exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{\|z-x\|^2}{\delta^2 n}\Big\}. \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define

$$
B = \sum_{x\in S(0,N)} \exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{\|z-x\|^2}{\delta^2 n}\Big\}.
$$

Using the fact that $0 < z_1 < N$, we have for all sufficiently large n such that $\delta^2 n \ge 1$

$$
B = \sum_{x\in S(0,N)} \exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{(z_1-x_1)^2}{\delta^2 n}\Big\}\cdots\exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{(z_d-x_d)^2}{\delta^2 n}\Big\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{x_1=1}^{N-1} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{(z_1-x_1)^2}{\delta^2 n}\Big\} \prod_{i=2}^{d} \sum_{x_i\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{(z_i-x_i)^2}{\delta^2 n}\Big\} \\
&\le \gamma_1 \int_0^N \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{u^2}{2\delta^2 n}\Big\} du \prod_{i=2}^{d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{x_i^2}{2\delta^2 n}\Big\} dx_i \\
&\le \gamma_2 \delta^{d-1} n^{\frac{d-1}{2}} I, \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I = \int_0^N \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{u^2}{2\delta^2 n}\Big\} du$ and γ_1, γ_2 are independent of n, ε and δ. We have

$$
I = \delta n^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{N}{\delta\sqrt{n}}} \exp\Big\{ - \frac{C_2}{2} u^2 \Big\} du. \qquad (21)
$$

Combining (19), (20), (21) and recalling that $N = \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n}\rfloor$, we have

$$
\mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} > \delta^2 n] \le \gamma_3 \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\delta}} \exp\Big\{ - \frac{C_2}{2} u^2 \Big\} du \qquad (22)
$$

for some positive constant γ_3 independent of δ/ε. Since $\varepsilon/\delta = \beta$, let us choose the constant β such that

$$
\gamma_3 \int_0^{\beta} \exp\Big\{ - \frac{C_2}{2} u^2 \Big\} du \le \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Thus, for ε sufficiently small such that $\beta^{-1}\varepsilon < (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{1/2}$, we obtain by (18)

$$
\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1\cup\{N\}_1} > (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n] \le \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{\lfloor (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}\beta^2 \rfloor}.
$$

From (15), we deduce

$$
\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] \le \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} > \alpha\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] + \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{\lfloor (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}\beta^2 \rfloor} \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{2^{-1}N}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}. \qquad (23)
$$

Then, we will find an upper bound for the ratio in the second term of the right-hand side of (23). By the Markov property we have

$$
\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{2^{-1}N}]} \ge \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n \mid A_{2^{-1}N}] \ge \min_{y\in\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n]. \qquad (24)
$$

Let $K > \varepsilon$ and let $N' = \lfloor K\sqrt{n}\rfloor$. We start by noting that for any $y \in \{2^{-1}N\}_1$ we have by the Markov property

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n] &\ge \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n, \tau_{\{N'\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] \\
&\ge \min_{z\in\{N'\}_1} \mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n] \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{\{N'\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}]. \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now bound from below both terms in the right-hand side of (25).

We first show that we can choose a sufficiently large K in such a way that $\mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n] \ge 1/2$ uniformly in $z \in \{N'\}_1$. For this, consider the reflected environment $\tilde\omega$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Using (1), we have

$$
\mathtt{P}^z_{\tilde\omega}[\tilde X_1(n) \le 0] \le \frac{C_1}{n^{d/2}} \sum_{x_1\le 0} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{(x_1-z_1)^2}{n}\Big\} \prod_{i=2}^{d} \sum_{x_i\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{(x_i-z_i)^2}{n}\Big\}
$$

$$\leq \frac{\gamma_4}{n^{d/2}} \int_{z_1}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{t^2}{2n} \Big\} dt \prod_{i=2}^{d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{ - C_2 \frac{x_i^2}{2n} \Big\} dx_i \tag{26}$$

for some positive constant γ_4. Using (9) and (26) we obtain

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{0\}_1} \leq n] \leq \gamma_5 \int_{K-1}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{ - \frac{C_2}{2} t^2 \Big\} dt$$

for some positive constant γ_5 independent of K and n. Choosing K sufficiently large so that

$$\gamma_5 \int_{K-1}^{\infty} \exp\Big\{ - \frac{C_2}{2} t^2 \Big\} dt \leq 1/2$$

we obtain

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n] \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{27}$$

uniformly in $z \in \{N'\}_1$. Now going back to equation (25), we now show that with probability of order ε^γ with $\gamma > 0$, starting from the line $\{2^{-1}N\}_1$, the random walk reaches the line $\{N'\}_1$ before reaching the line $\{0\}_1$. By Lemma 2.1, we can choose $v \in (0,1)$ small enough such that for every $l > 1$, $\mathtt{P}_\omega^u[\tau_{\{(1+v)l\}} < \tau_{\{0\}}] \geq C_5$, with $u \in \{l\}_1$. Now consider, the following sequence $(U_j)_{j \geq 0}$ of hyperplanes defined by

$$\begin{cases} U_0 & = \{(1+v)\lfloor 2^{-1}N \rfloor\}_1 \\ U_{j+1} & = \{(1+v)U_j\}_1. \end{cases}$$

Let j^* the smallest j such that $U_j \geq K\sqrt{n}$. Using the induction relation, we obtain that $j^* + 1 \leq \ln^{-1}(1+v) \ln \frac{K}{\varepsilon}$ for n sufficiently large. By convention, set $U_{-1} = \{2^{-1}N\}_1$. By the Markov property, we obtain that uniformly in $y \in \{2^{-1}N\}_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{N'\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] &\geq \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\bigcap_{i=0}^{j^*} \{\tau_{U_i} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}\} \Big] \\ &\geq \prod_{i=0}^{j^*} \Big(\min_{u \in U_{i-1}} \mathtt{P}_\omega^u[\tau_{U_i} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}] \Big) \\ &\geq C_5^{\ln^{-1}(1+v) \ln \frac{K}{\varepsilon}} \\ &= \Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{K} \Big)^{\gamma_7} \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for some constant $\gamma_7 > 0$. Combining (25), (27), and (28) we deduce

$$\min_{y \in \{2^{-1}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n] \geq \frac{1}{2} \Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{K} \Big)^{\gamma_7}. \tag{29}$$

Then by (23), (24) and (29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] &\leq \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} > \alpha \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] + 2K^{\gamma_7} \varepsilon^{-\gamma_7} \Big(\frac{1}{2} \Big)^{\lfloor (1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \beta^2 \rfloor} \\ &\leq \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{2^{-1}N\}_1} > \alpha \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] + 4K^{\gamma_7} \varepsilon^{-\gamma_7} \Big(\frac{1}{2} \Big)^{(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \beta^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

By the same argument, we can deduce that for all $j \geq 1$ we have

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{2^{-j}N\}_1} > \alpha^j \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] \leq \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{2^{-(j+1)}N\}_1} > \alpha^{j+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] + 4K^{\gamma_7}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}\Big)^{-\gamma_7}\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{(1-\alpha)\beta^2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}(4\alpha)^j}. \tag{31}$$

Iterating (30) using (31), we deduce

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} n \mid \Lambda_n] \leq 4K^{\gamma_7}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}\Big)^{-\gamma_7}\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{(1-\alpha)\beta^2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}(4\alpha)^j} \tag{32}$$

for sufficiently large n. As $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$, the last series is convergent. Define the function f in the statement of Lemma 2.2 as

$$f(\varepsilon) := 2K^{\gamma_7}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}\Big)^{-\gamma_7}\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{(1-\alpha)\beta^2\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}(4\alpha)^j}.$$

Using the dominated convergence theorem, it is straightforward to show that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon^{-2}f(\varepsilon) = 0$. This proves Lemma 2.2. □

In the next lemma, N still stands for $\lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n}\rfloor$. However, the quantities (like α, δ, β, ...) defined in the proof of the lemma are not related (if no explicit reference to Lemma 2.2 is made) to the corresponding quantities defined in the proof of Lemma 2.2. The next lemma controls the "transversal fluctuations" of $X_2, \dots, X_d$, given Λ_n.

Lemma 2.3 *There exists a positive and finite constant* C_6 *such that we have* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s.*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\max_{i\in[\![2,d]\!]}\sup_{j\leq\tau_{\{N\}_1}}|X_i(j)| > C_6\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}N \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \leq g(\varepsilon)$$

with $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon^{-2}g(\varepsilon) = 0$.

Proof. First, observe that, by symmetry, it suffices to show that there exists $g' = g'(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{j\leq\tau_{\{N\}_1}}|X_i(j)| > C_6\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}N \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \leq g'(\varepsilon) \tag{33}$$

with $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\varepsilon^{-2}g'(\varepsilon) = 0$ for some $i \in [\![2,d]\!]$. For the sake of simplicity, let us take $i = 2$ in the rest of the proof. Fix $\varepsilon^{-1/2} > 2$ and $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. We introduce the following sequence of events

$$G_k = \Big\{\sup_{j\in(\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1},\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1}]}|X_2(j) - X_2(\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1})| \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^k N\Big\}$$

for $k \geq 1$, with the convention that $\sup_{j\in\emptyset}\{\cdot\} = 0$. Then, we denote by B_k^δ the event

$$\{\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1} \leq \delta n\} \cap \{\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1} < \tau_{\{0\}_1}\}$$

for $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and $k \geq 1$.

Now, observe that on the event $B_0^\delta \cap (\cap_{k\geq 1}G_k)$ we have that $\sup_{j\leq\tau_{\{N\}_1}}|X_2(j)| \leq \varepsilon^{-1/2}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}N$ since $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. This implies that

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{j\leq\tau_{\{N\}_1}}|X_2(j)| \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}N \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \geq \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[B_0^\delta \cap (\bigcap_{k\geq 1}G_k) \mid \Lambda_n\Big].$$

In order to prove Lemma 2.3, we will show that $\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_0^\delta \cap (\cap_{k\geq 1} G_k) \mid \Lambda_n]$ tends to 1 when $\varepsilon \to 0$. We start by writing

$$\begin{aligned}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[B_0^\delta \cap (\bigcap_{k\geq 1} G_k) \mid \Lambda_n\Big] &= \mathtt{P}_\omega[B_0^\delta \mid \Lambda_n] - \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[B_0^\delta \cap (\bigcap_{k\geq 1} G_k)^c \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\ &\geq \mathtt{P}_\omega[B_0^\delta \mid \Lambda_n] - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[B_0^\delta \cap G_k^c \mid \Lambda_n]. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Then, we have by the Markov property

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_0^\delta \cap G_k^c \mid \Lambda_n] &\leq \mathtt{P}_\omega[B_k^\delta \cap G_k^c \mid \Lambda_n] \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_k^\delta, G_k^c, \Lambda_n] \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \sum_{j\leq \lfloor \delta n\rfloor} \sum_{y\in\{2^{-k}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[B_k^\delta, G_k^c, \Lambda_n, \tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1} = j, X(\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1}) = y\Big] \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \sum_{j\leq \lfloor \delta n\rfloor} \sum_{y\in\{2^{-k}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[G_k^c, \Lambda_n \mid B_k^\delta, \tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1} = j, X(\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1}) = y\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[B_k^\delta, \tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1} = j, X(\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1}) = y\Big] \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \sum_{j\leq \lfloor \delta n\rfloor} \sum_{y\in\{2^{-k}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\sup_{i\leq \tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1}} |(X(i)-y)\cdot \mathbf{e}_2| > \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^k N, \Lambda_{n-j}\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[B_k^\delta, \tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1} = j, X(\tau_{\{2^{-k}N\}_1}) = y\Big] \\
&\leq \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_k^1]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \max_{j\leq \lfloor \delta n\rfloor} \max_{y\in\{2^{-k}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\max_{i\leq \tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1}} |(X(i)-y)\cdot \mathbf{e}_2| > \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^k N, \Lambda_{n-j}\Big] \\
&\leq \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_k^1]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \max_{y\in\{2^{-k}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\sup_{i\leq \tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1}} |(X(i)-y)\cdot \mathbf{e}_2| > \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^k N, \Lambda_{(1-\delta)n}\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

We have by the Markov property

$$\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_k^1]} \geq \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n \mid B_k^1] \geq \min_{y\in\{2^{-k}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n].$$

By the same argument which we used in Lemma 2.2 for the term $\min_{y\in\{2^{-1}N\}_1} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{0\}_1} > n]$ (cf. the derivation of (29)) we obtain

$$\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_k^1]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \leq \gamma_1\Big(\frac{K2^k}{\varepsilon}\Big)^{\gamma_2} \tag{35}$$

for some positive constants γ_1, γ_2 and K from Lemma 2.2. Now, we need to bound the terms

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\sup_{i\leq \tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1}} |(X(i)-y)\cdot \mathbf{e}_2| > \varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^k N, \Lambda_{(1-\delta)n}\Big]$$

from above, uniformly in $y \in \{2^{-k}N\}_1$. In order not to carry on heavy notations we treat the case $y_2 = 0$. However, as one can check, the bound we will obtain is uniform in $y \in \{2^{-k}N\}_1$. Let

$$E_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x_2 = \pm\lfloor \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^k N\rfloor\}.$$

We start by writing

$$\begin{aligned}\mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\sup_{i\le\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1}}|X_2(i)|>\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^kN,\Lambda_{(1-\delta)n}\Big]&=\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1},\tau_{\{0\}_1}>(1-\delta)n]\\&=\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1},\tau_{\{0\}_1}>(1-\delta)n,\tau_{\{0\}_1}>\tau_{E_k}]\\&\quad+\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1},\tau_{\{0\}_1}>(1-\delta)n,\tau_{\{0\}_1}<\tau_{E_k}]\\&\le\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]+\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}>(1-\delta)n].\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

Let us bound the first term of the right-hand side of (36) from above. To do so, we first write

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\\&\qquad\le\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]+\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{-\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}].\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

We treat the first term of the right-hand side of (37) (the method for the second term is completely similar). Let $L\in(2,\varepsilon^{-1/2})$ and divide the interval $[0,\lfloor\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\rfloor]$ into intervals of size $\lfloor L2^{-k}N\rfloor$. Furthermore, let

$$F_k=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\lfloor 2^{-k+1}N\rfloor-1}\{j\}_1.$$

We have by the Markov property

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\\&\qquad=\mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\bigcap_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\rfloor}{\lfloor L2^{-k}N\rfloor}\right\rfloor}\{\tau_{\{jL2^{-k}N\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}\}\Big]\\&\qquad=\prod_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\lfloor\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\rfloor}{\lfloor L2^{-k}N\rfloor}\right\rfloor}\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{\{jL2^{-k}N\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}\cup\{0\}}\mid\tau_{\{(j-1)L2^{-k}N\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\\&\qquad\le\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor L^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1/2}(2\alpha)^k\rfloor}\max_{z\in\{(j-1)L2^{-k}N\}_2\cap F_k}\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{jL2^{-k}N\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\end{aligned}\tag{38}$$

for n sufficiently large. Let us show that

$$\max_{z\in\{(j-1)L2^{-k}N\}_2\cap F_k}\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{jL2^{-k}N\}_2}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\le 1/2$$

for ε sufficienlty small and L sufficiently large belonging to $(2,\varepsilon^{-1/2})$. To simplify notations, we treat the case $j=1$, the other terms can be treated similarly. Consider $w\in(4,L^2)$, we have for $z\in\{0\}_2\cap F_k$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{jL2^{-k}N\}_2}>\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]&\ge\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[X_1(w2^{-2k}N^2)\notin(0,2^{-k+1}N),\tau_{\{L2^{-k}N\}_2}>w2^{-2k}N^2]\\&\ge\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[X_1(w2^{-2k}N^2)\notin(0,2^{-k+1}N)]-\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{L2^{-k}N\}_2}\le w2^{-2k}N^2].\end{aligned}\tag{39}$$

Using (1), we deduce for sufficiently large n

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[X_1(w2^{-2k}N^2)\in(0,2^{-k+1}N)]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\le \frac{C_1}{\lfloor w2^{-2k}N^2\rfloor^{d/2}} \sum_{x_1=0}^{\lfloor 2^{-k+1}N\rfloor-1} \exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{(x_1-z_1)^2}{w2^{-2k}N^2}\Big\}\prod_{i=2}^{d}\sum_{x_i\in\mathbb{Z}}\exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{(x_i-z_i)^2}{w2^{-2k}N^2}\Big\}\\
&\le \gamma_3\int_{-2w^{-1/2}}^{2w^{-1/2}}\exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant γ_3 independent of w and n. Let $\mathcal{R}'$ the reflection with respect to hyperplane $x_2=\lfloor L2^{-k}N\rfloor$. To bound the term $\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{L2^{-k}N\}_2}\le w2^{-2k}N^2]$ from above, we consider the following environment:

$$
\hat{\omega}_{x,y}=\begin{cases}\omega_{x,y} & \text{if } x_2 \text{ and } y_2\le\lfloor L2^{-k}N\rfloor,\\ \omega_{\mathcal{R}'x,\mathcal{R}'y} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}
$$

Let us denote by $\hat{X}$ the random walk in the environment $\hat{\omega}$. By the same argument we used in Lemma 2.1 to yield (9), we deduce

$$
\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{L2^{-k}N\}_2}\le w2^{-2k}N^2]\le 2\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\hat{X}_2(w2^{-2k}N^2)\ge L2^{-k}N]. \qquad (41)
$$

Using (1) to bound the right-hand side of (41) from above, we deduce for sufficiently large n

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{L2^{-k}N\}_2}\le w2^{-2k}N^2]\\
&\quad\le \frac{2C_1}{\lfloor w2^{-2k}N^2\rfloor^{d/2}}\prod_{i\neq 2}\sum_{x_i\in\mathbb{Z}}\exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{(x_i-z_i)^2}{w2^{-2k}N^2}\Big\}\sum_{x_2\ge\lfloor L2^{-k}N\rfloor}\exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{x_2^2}{w2^{-2k}N^2}\Big\}\\
&\quad\le \gamma_4\int_{Lw^{-1/2}}^{\infty}\exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some positive constant γ_4 independent of $Lw^{-1/2}$ and n. Combining (39), (40) and (42) we obtain

$$
\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{jL2^{-k}N\}_2}>\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\ge 1-\gamma_3\int_{-2w^{-1/2}}^{2w^{-1/2}}\exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt-\gamma_4\int_{Lw^{-1/2}}^{\infty}\exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt. \qquad (43)
$$

First, choose w sufficiently large such that $\gamma_3\int_{-2w^{-1/2}}^{2w^{-1/2}}\exp\{-C_2t^2/2\}dt\le\frac{1}{4}$ and thus choose L sufficiently large in such a way that $\gamma_4\int_{Lw^{-1/2}}^{\infty}\exp\{-C_2t^2/2\}dt\le\frac{1}{4}$. We obtain

$$
\mathtt{P}_\omega^z[\tau_{\{jL2^{-k}N\}_2}>\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\ge\frac{1}{2}. \qquad (44)
$$

Now using (37), (38) and (44) we have if $\varepsilon^{-1/2}>L$,

$$
\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}<\tau_{\{2^{-k+1}N\}_1\cup\{0\}_1}]\le 2\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{\lfloor L^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1/2}(2\alpha)^k\rfloor}. \qquad (45)
$$

Next, let us treat the term $\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[\tau_{E_k}>(1-\delta)n]$. Let $\eta=\beta^{-1}\varepsilon$ where β is a positive constant to be chosen later. Then suppose that ε is sufficiently small such that $\eta\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^k<1-\delta$ and divide the time interval $[0,\lfloor(1-\delta)n\rfloor]$ into intervals of size $\lfloor\eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor$. Denoting by

$$
H(E_k)=\bigcup_{j=-\lfloor\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^kN\rfloor-1}\{j\}_2,
$$

we obtain by the Markov property

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{E_k} > (1-\delta)n] \\
&\qquad \leq \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\tau_{E_k} \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor (1-\delta)n\rfloor}{\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor}\right\rfloor} ((i-1)\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor, i\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor]\Big] \\
&\qquad = \prod_{i=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\lfloor (1-\delta)n\rfloor}{\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor}\right\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\big[\tau_{E_k} \notin ((i-1)\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor, i\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor] \mid \tau_{E_k} \notin (0,(i-1)\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor]\big] \\
&\qquad \leq \Big(\max_{z\in H(E_k)} \mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{E_k} > \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n]\Big)^{\lfloor (1-\delta)(\eta\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^k)^{-2}\rfloor} \qquad (46)
\end{aligned}
$$

for n sufficiently large. We now bound the term $\mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{E_k} > \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n]$ from above uniformly in $z \in H(E_k)$. Using (1), we have for sufficiently large n

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}^z_\omega[\tau_{E_k} > \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n] \\
&\qquad \leq \mathtt{P}^z_\omega[X(\eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n) \in H(E_k)] \\
&\qquad \leq \frac{C_1}{\lfloor \eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n\rfloor^{d/2}} \prod_{i\neq 2}\sum_{x_i\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{(x_i-z_i)^2}{\eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n}\Big\} \sum_{x_2=-\lfloor \varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^k N\rfloor+1}^{\lfloor \varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^k N\rfloor-1} \exp\Big\{-C_2\frac{(x_2-z_2)^2}{\eta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{2k}n}\Big\} \\
&\qquad \leq \gamma_5\int_{-2\varepsilon\eta^{-1}}^{2\varepsilon\eta^{-1}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt
\end{aligned}
$$

where γ_5 is a positive constant independent of $\varepsilon\eta^{-1}$ and n. Since $\varepsilon\eta^{-1} = \beta$, choose β small enough such that

$$\gamma_5\int_{-2\beta}^{2\beta} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For ε sufficiently small such that $\eta\varepsilon^{-1/2}\alpha^k < 1-\delta$, we obtain using (46),

$$\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\tau_{E_k} > (1-\delta)n] \leq \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{\lfloor (1-\delta)(\beta^{-1}\varepsilon^{1/2}\alpha^k)^{-2}\rfloor}. \qquad (47)$$

Combining (35), (45) and (47) we obtain

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_0^\delta \cap (\cap_{k\geq 1}G_k)^c \mid \Lambda_n] \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_1 K^{\gamma_2}2^{k\gamma_2+1}\varepsilon^{-\gamma_2}\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{L^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1/2}(2\alpha)^k} + \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{(1-\delta)(\beta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{-2k})}\Big).$$

Since $\alpha \in (1/2,1)$, the series above converges. Let $\delta = \varepsilon^{1/2}$, we have for $\varepsilon < 1/4$

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega[B_0^\delta \cap (\cap_{k\geq 1}G_k)^c \mid \Lambda_n] \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_1 K^{\gamma_2}2^{k\gamma_2+1}\varepsilon^{-\gamma_2}\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{L^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1/2}(2\alpha)^k} + \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{-2k})}\Big).$$

Let

$$h(\varepsilon) := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \gamma_1 K^{\gamma_2}2^{k\gamma_2+1}\varepsilon^{-\gamma_2}\Big(\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{L^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1/2}(2\alpha)^k} + \Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}(\beta^2\varepsilon^{-1}\alpha^{-2k})}\Big).$$

By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have $\varepsilon^{-2}h(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Using (34) and Lemma 2.2 (since $\delta = \varepsilon^{1/2}$) we have for $\varepsilon < 1/4$

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[B_0^\delta \cap (\bigcap_{k\geq 1} G_k) \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \geq 1 - f(\varepsilon) - h(\varepsilon).$$

This last term tends to 1 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Now, take $g'(\varepsilon) := f(\varepsilon) + h(\varepsilon)$ to show (33) and therefore Lemma 2.3. □

3 Proof of the UCLT

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. The proof is similar in spirit to the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [8], nevertheless it is greatly simplified in the present case by the use of the heat kernel upper bounds. In order to take advantage of the natural left shift on the space $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}_+$ into $\mathbb{R}^d$, we will rather prove Theorem 1.1 for Z^n assuming values in $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ instead of $C([0,1])$. Then, the result for Z^n assuming values in $C([0,1])$ will be easily obtained by the mapping theorem (cf. [3]). Let $\mathfrak{C}_b^u(C(\mathbb{R}_+),\mathbb{R})$ be the space of bounded uniformly continuous functionals from $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ into $\mathbb{R}$. In this section, we write W for a d-dimensional Brownian motion. The first step is to prove the following

Proposition 3.1 *For all $F \in \mathfrak{C}_b^u(C(\mathbb{R}_+),\mathbb{R})$, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for every $H > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \Big|\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W)]\Big| = 0.$$

Fix $F \in \mathfrak{C}_b^u(C(\mathbb{R}_+),\mathbb{R})$. We will prove that, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for every $\tilde{\varepsilon}, H > 0$,

$$\sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \Big|\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W)]\Big| \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} \tag{48}$$

for n large enough. Before this, we need to introduce some definitions and prove an intermediate result. For all $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$, let us define

$$T_\omega(\hat{\varepsilon}) = \min\Big\{n \geq 1 : \big|\mathtt{E}_\omega[F(Z^m)] - E[F(W)]\big| \leq \hat{\varepsilon}, \text{ for all } m \geq n\Big\}.$$

Let $\mathtt{d}$ be the distance on the space $C_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ defined by

$$\mathtt{d}(f,g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n+1} \min\Big\{1, \sup_{s\in[0,n]} \|f(s) - g(s)\|\Big\}$$

with $\|\cdot\|$ the euclidian norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Now, fix $\hat{\varepsilon}_1 > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $h > 0$ be sufficiently small so that

$$P\Big[\sup_{s\leq h} \|W(s)\| > \hat{\varepsilon}_1\Big] + P\Big[\sup_{s\leq h} \mathtt{d}(\theta_s W, W) > \hat{\varepsilon}_1\Big] \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \tag{49}$$

Next, adapting section 3 of [8] we introduce the following

Definition 3.1 *For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is $(h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, N)$-good, if*

- $T_{\theta_x\omega}(\hat{\varepsilon}) \le N$;
- $\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{s\le h}|Z^m(s)| \le \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \sup_{s\le h}\mathsf{d}(\theta_s Z^m, Z^m) \le \hat{\varepsilon}_1\Big] \ge 1-\varepsilon$, *for all* $m \ge N$.

We now show that starting from a site $x \in [-H\sqrt{n}, H\sqrt{n}]^d$, with high probability, we will meet a $(h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, n)$-good site at a distance at most $h^{1/2}\sqrt{n}$ before time hn (unlike as in [8], there is no need here to introduce the notion of a *nice* site since by (1), every point in $[-H\sqrt{n}, H\sqrt{n}]^d$ is nice). We denote by $\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,N}$ the set of $(h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, N)$-good sites in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 3.2 *Fix* ε, $\hat{\varepsilon}_1$, $\hat{\varepsilon}$ *and* H. *For any* $\varepsilon_2 > 0$, *we can choose small enough* h *in such a way that we have* $\mathbb{P}$*-a.s., for all sufficiently large* n, *for all* $x \in [-H\sqrt{n}, H\sqrt{n}]^d$

$$\mathtt{P}^x_\omega\Big[\tau_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,n}} \le hn, \sup_{j\le\tau_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,n}}} \|X(j)-X(0)\| \le h^{1/2}\sqrt{n}\Big] \ge 1-\varepsilon_2.$$

Proof. Fix ε, $\hat{\varepsilon}_1$ and $\hat{\varepsilon}$. Then we can choose sufficiently small h in such a way that for any $\varepsilon' > 0$ there exists N such that

$$\mathbb{P}[0 \text{ is } (h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, N)\text{-good}] > 1-\varepsilon'.$$

By the Ergodic Theorem, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for all $n > n_1(\omega)$,

$$\big|\{x \in [-2H\sqrt{n}, 2H\sqrt{n}]^d \text{ and } x \text{ is not } (h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, N)\text{-good}\}\big| < 5^d\varepsilon' H^d n^{\frac{d}{2}}. \tag{50}$$

Then, applying the Ergodic Theorem along the coordinates axis we deduce that the largest cluster of $[-2H\sqrt{n}, 2H\sqrt{n}]^d$, denoted Clus, that does not contain any $(h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, N)$-good point is contained in a hypercube, denoted Cub, of side smaller than $5\varepsilon' H\sqrt{n}$. Then using (1), we can show that uniformly in $x \in \mathsf{Clus}$ we have for sufficiently large n

$$\begin{aligned}\mathtt{P}^x_\omega[\tau_{\mathsf{Clus}^c} \le hn] &\ge \mathtt{P}^x_\omega[X(hn) \in \mathsf{Cub}^c]\\ &= 1-\mathtt{P}^x_\omega[X(hn) \in \mathsf{Cub}]\\ &\ge 1-\gamma_1\Big(\int_{-5\varepsilon' Hh^{-1/2}}^{5\varepsilon' Hh^{-1/2}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt\Big)^d\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

for some positive constant γ_1 independent of $\varepsilon' Hh^{-1/2}$ and n. Thus, choosing ε' sufficiently small in such a way that $5\varepsilon' H < h^{1/2}$ and

$$\gamma_1\Big(\int_{-5\varepsilon' Hh^{-1/2}}^{5\varepsilon' Hh^{-1/2}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{C_2}{2}t^2\Big\}dt\Big)^d \le \varepsilon_2,$$

we obtain

$$\mathtt{P}^x_\omega\Big[\tau_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,n}} \le hn, \sup_{j\le\tau_{\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon,n}}} \|X(j)-X(0)\| \le h^{1/2}\sqrt{n}\Big] \ge 1-\varepsilon_2.$$

This concludes the proof of Proposition 3.2. □

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let us prove (48). Without loss of generality, suppose that $\sigma = 1$. Let $x \in [-H\sqrt{n}, H\sqrt{n}]^d$. In this last part, for the sake of brevity, we denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the set of $(h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, n)$-good sites. Let us denote

$$R := \Big|\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W)]\Big|.$$

We start by writing

$$\begin{aligned} R &\le \Big|\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(F(Z^n) - \mathtt{E}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}[F(Z^n)]\Big)\Big| + \Big|\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathtt{E}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W)]\Big)\Big| \\ &:= U + V. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

First, taking $\hat{\varepsilon} \le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2}$ we obtain

$$V \le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2} \tag{53}$$

by definition of a $(h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, n)$-good site. It remains to treat the first term of the right-hand side of (52). Denote $X' := X - x$. Now, observe that by the Markov property

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \Big|\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(F(Z^n) - \mathtt{E}_{\theta_{X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}(\theta_x\omega)}[F(Z^n)]\Big)\Big| \\ &= \Big|\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F \circ Z^n - F \circ \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}(Z^n - n^{-1/2}X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}})]\Big| \\ &\le \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big|F \circ Z^n - F \circ \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}(Z^n - n^{-1/2}X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}})\Big|. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

We are going to show that for n sufficiently large we have uniformly in $x \in [-H\sqrt{n}, H\sqrt{n}]^d$

$$\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big|F \circ Z^n - F \circ \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}(Z^n - n^{-1/2}X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}})\Big| \le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{2}$$

for suitably chosen $h, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon$. Let $M^n := Z^n - n^{-1/2}X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}$. Since F is uniformly continuous, we can choose $\eta > 0$ in such a way that if $\mathtt{d}(f,g) \le \eta$ then $|F(f) - F(g)| \le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{4}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big|F \circ Z^n - F \circ \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n\Big| &= \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\Big|F \circ Z^n - F \circ \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n\Big|\mathbf{1}\{\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) \le \eta\}\Big] \\ &\quad + \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\Big|F \circ Z^n - F \circ \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n\Big|\mathbf{1}\{\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \eta\}\Big] \\ &\le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{4} + 2\|F\|_\infty \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \eta\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Let $h < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \eta\Big] &\le \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \eta, \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\Big] + \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[\tau_{\mathcal{G}} > hn] \\ &\le \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t \in [0, n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]} \|Z^n - \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n\| > \frac{\eta}{2}, \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\Big] \\ &\quad + \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(\theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}Z^n, \theta^2_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \frac{\eta}{2}, \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\Big] + \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[\tau_{\mathcal{G}} > hn]. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}$ be the σ-field generated by X until time $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$. We first decompose the first term of the right-hand side of (56) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t \in [0, n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]} \|Z^n - \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n\| > \frac{\eta}{2}, \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\Big] \\ &\qquad \le \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t \in [0, n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]} \|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] + \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t \in [0,h]} \|\theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] \\ &\qquad = \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t \in [0, n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]} \|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,h]}\|\theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}\Big]\Big)\\
&= \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] + \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathtt{P}^{X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,h]}\|M^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\Big)\\
&= \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] + \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathtt{P}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,h]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\Big). \qquad (57)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now deal with the second term of the right-hand side of (56):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(\theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}Z^n, \theta^2_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \frac{\eta}{2}, \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\Big]\\
&\quad\le \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\|X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}\| > \frac{\eta}{4}n\Big] + \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(\theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n, \theta^2_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \frac{\eta}{4}, \tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\Big]\\
&\quad\le \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] + \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathbf{1}\{\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\}\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(\theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n, \theta^2_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \frac{\eta}{4} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}\Big]\Big)\\
&\quad= \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] + \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathbf{1}\{\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\}\mathtt{P}^{X'_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(M^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\Big)\\
&\quad= \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] + \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathbf{1}\{\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\}\mathtt{P}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}Z^n) > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\Big). \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining (56), (57) and (58), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}M^n) > \eta\Big] &\le \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[\tau_{\mathcal{G}} > hn] + 2\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\\
&\quad + \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathtt{P}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,h]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\\
&\qquad + \mathbf{1}\{\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\}\mathtt{P}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}Z^n) > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\Big). \qquad (59)
\end{aligned}
$$

On one hand, by definition of a $(h, \hat{\varepsilon}, \hat{\varepsilon}_1, \varepsilon, n)$-good point we can choose $\hat{\varepsilon}_1 = \eta/4$ and $\varepsilon \le \tilde{\varepsilon}(32\|F\|_\infty)^{-1}$ such that for h sufficiently small we have uniformly in $x \in [-H\sqrt{n}, H\sqrt{n}]^d$,

$$
\mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big(\mathtt{P}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,h]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] + \mathbf{1}\{\tau_{\mathcal{G}} \le hn\}\mathtt{P}_{\theta_{X_{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}}\omega}\Big[\mathtt{d}(Z^n, \theta_{\frac{\tau_{\mathcal{G}}}{n}}Z^n) > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big]\Big) \le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{32\|F\|_\infty} \qquad (60)
$$

for all sufficiently large n. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.2, we can choose ε small enough in such a way that, for sufficiently small h such that $h^{1/2} \le \eta/4$, we have uniformly in $x \in [-H\sqrt{n}, H\sqrt{n}]^d$,

$$
\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[\tau_{\mathcal{G}} > hn] \le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{32\|F\|_\infty} \qquad (61)
$$

and

$$
\mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,n^{-1}\tau_{\mathcal{G}}]}\|Z^n\| > \frac{\eta}{4}\Big] \le \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}}{32\|F\|_\infty} \qquad (62)
$$

for sufficiently large n. Combining (60), (61), (62) with (59), (56), (55) and (54), we have $U \le \tilde{\varepsilon}/2$. Together with (53) and (52), we obtain that $R \le \tilde{\varepsilon}$ which concludes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

Denote by $\mathfrak{C}_b(C(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{R})$ the space of bounded continuous functionals from $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ into $\mathbb{R}$ and by $\mathcal{B}$ the Borel σ-field on $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$. The next step is the following proposition, its proof follows essentially the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [3] (cf. also Proposition 3.5 of [8]).

Proposition 3.3 *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) for any $F \in \mathfrak{C}_b(C(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{R})$, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \Big| \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W)] \Big| = 0;$$

(ii) for any $F \in \mathfrak{C}_b^u(C(\mathbb{R}_+), \mathbb{R})$, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \Big| \mathtt{E}_{\theta_x\omega}[F(Z^n)] - E[F(W)] \Big| = 0;$$

(iii) for any closed set B, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in B] \le P[W \in B];$$

(iv) for any open set G, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in G] \ge P[W \in G];$$

(v) for any $A \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $P[W \in \partial A] = 0$, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \Big| \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in A] - P[W \in A] \Big| = 0.$$

Finally, we have Proposition 3.4, which is completely similar to Proposition 3.6 of [8].

Proposition 3.4 *The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for every open set G,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in G] \ge P[W \in G];$$

(ii) for every open set G, we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]^d} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in G] \ge P[W \in G].$$

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii) is trivial. Let us show that (ii) ⇒ (i). Suppose that there exists a countable family $\mathcal{G}$ of open sets such that for every open set G there exists a sequence $(O_n)_{n=1,2,\dots} \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathbf{1}_{O_n} \uparrow \mathbf{1}_G$ pointwise as $n \to \infty$. By (ii), since the family $\mathcal{G}$ is countable we would have, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $O \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{x\in[-H\sqrt{n},H\sqrt{n}]} \mathtt{P}_{\theta_x\omega}[Z^n \in O] \ge P[W \in O]. \tag{63}$$

Then, the same kind of reasoning as that used in the proof of Proposition 3.3 to prove (ii) ⇒ (iii) would provide the desired result. The fact that $\mathcal{G}$ exists, follows from the fact that $C(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is separable. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. One can check that it is straightforward (using the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.3) to deduce a Portmanteau theorem for statement (i) of Proposition 3.4. That is, one can prove a proposition similar to Proposition 3.3 in which the positions of the terms "for any ..." and "$\mathbb{P}$-a.s." are exchanged. To conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, it remains to show that (ii) of Proposition 3.4 holds. By Proposition 3.3, (ii) of Proposition 3.4 is equivalent to (ii) of Proposition 3.3. Since by Proposition 3.1, (ii) of Proposition 3.3 holds, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we first show convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions and then, in Section 4.2, we prove the tightness of the sequence $(\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n \in \cdot \mid \Lambda_n])_{n\geq 1}$. For $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, we recall that $N := \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \rfloor$.

4.1 Convergence of finite-dimensional distributions

First, let us prove

Proposition 4.1 *We have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z_1^n(1) > u_1, \dots, Z_d^n(1) > u_d \mid \Lambda_n] = \exp(-u_1^2/2) \prod_{i=2}^{d} \int_{u_i}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt, \tag{64}$$

for all $u = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.

Proof. First, we introduce some notations. Let

$$D_u = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 > u_1, \dots, x_d > u_d\}$$

and

$$R_{\varepsilon,n} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 = \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \rfloor, x_i \in [-\lfloor C_6 \varepsilon^{-1/2} \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \rfloor \rfloor, \lfloor C_6 \varepsilon^{-1/2} \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \rfloor \rfloor], i \in [\![2, d]\!]\}$$

with C_6 from Lemma 2.3. We also define the event $A_{0\to R} = \{\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} < \tau^+_{\{0\}_1}\}$. We start by bounding the term $\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u \mid \Lambda_n]$ from above. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \sigma u_1 \wedge 1)$ and consider the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u \mid \Lambda_n] &\leq \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \Big\{ \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n] \Big\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \Big(\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \leq \varepsilon^{1/2} n] \\
&\qquad + \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n] \Big) + \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_n] \\
&\leq (\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n])^{-1} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \leq \varepsilon^{1/2} n] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n] \\
&\qquad + \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_n].
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Since $\varepsilon^{1/2} \in (0,1)$, we have

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_n] = \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \tau^+_{\{0\}_1} \mid \Lambda_n] \leq \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > n \mid \Lambda_n] \leq \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n]. \tag{66}$$

Then, using the Markov property, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n])^{-1} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \leq \varepsilon^{1/2} n] \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \sum_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \sum_{j \leq \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} = j, X(\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}}) = y] \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \sum_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \sum_{j \leq \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, \Lambda_n \mid \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} = j, A_{0\to R}, X(\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}}) = y] \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} = j, A_{0\to R}, X(\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}}) = y]
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \sum_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \sum_{j\le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z^{n-j}(1)\in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}]\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} = j, A_{0\to R}, X(\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}}) = y]$$

$$\le \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \max_{j\le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z^{n-j}(1)\in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}]. \tag{67}$$

Again, using the Markov property, we have

$$\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]} \ge \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid A_{0\to R}]$$

$$= \sum_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \sum_{j\le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n \mid \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} = j, A_{0\to R}, X(\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}}) = y]\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} = j, X(\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}}) = y \mid A_{0\to R}]$$

$$\ge \min_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_n]. \tag{68}$$

Combining (65), (66), (67) and (68) we obtain

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1)\in D_u \mid \Lambda_n] \le \frac{\max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \max_{j\le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z^{n-j}(1)\in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}]}{\min_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_n]} + 2\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n]. \tag{69}$$

Now, to bound the term $\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n]$ from above we write

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n] = \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n, \tau_{\{N\}_1} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n, \tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n]$$

$$\le \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\max_{i\in[\![2,d]\!]} \sup_{j\le \tau_{\{N\}_1}} |X_i(j)| > C_6 \varepsilon^{-1/2} N \mid \Lambda_n\Big] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n]. \tag{70}$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n] \le f(\varepsilon) + g(\varepsilon). \tag{71}$$

So, we obtain $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1)\in D_u \mid \Lambda_n] \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{\max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \max_{j\le \varepsilon^{1/2} n} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z^{n-j}(1)\in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}]}{\min_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_n]} + 2f(\varepsilon) + 2g(\varepsilon). \tag{72}$$

By definition of Z^n, we have

$$\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_n] = \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[Z^n_1(1) > 0, t\in[0,1]\Big]. \tag{73}$$

Thus, from Theorem 1.1 we obtain, recalling that W_1 is the first component of $W^{(d)}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \min_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[Z^n_1(t) > 0, t\in[0,1]\Big] = P^{\varepsilon\sigma^{-1}}\Big[\min_{0\le t\le 1} W_1(t) > 0\Big]$$

$$= P\big[|W_1(1)| < \varepsilon\sigma^{-1}\big]$$

$$= \frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} + o(\varepsilon) \tag{74}$$

as $\varepsilon\to 0$, where P^x is law of $W^{(d)}$ starting at x. Now, let us treat the term

$$\max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \max_{j\le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z^{n-j}(1)\in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}].$$

Fix $\delta' > 0$ and let $sign(x) = -1$ if $x \leq 0$ and 1 if $x > 0$. Denote by

$$U_i := \Big\{ X_i(n - \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor) > \sigma(u_i - sign(u_i)\delta')\sqrt{n} \Big\} \tag{75}$$

and

$$V_i := \Big\{ \max_{j \leq \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor} |X_i(n - \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor) - X_i(n-j)| \geq \sigma\delta'\sqrt{n} \Big\} \tag{76}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Observe that we have for $y \in R_{\varepsilon,n}$ and $j \leq \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor$

$$\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z^{n-j}(1) \in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}] \leq \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d (U_i \cup V_i) \cap \Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}\Big].$$

Let us consider the set $\mathcal{I} = \{U_1, \dots, U_d, V_1, \dots, V_d\}$ and denote by $\mathcal{J}$ the set formed by all intersections of d distinct elements of $\mathcal{I}$: $\mathcal{J}$ contains $\binom{2d}{d}$ elements. Let us denote by $J_1, \dots, J_{\binom{2d}{d}}$ all the elements of $\mathcal{J}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\max_{j \leq \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z_1^{n-j} \in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}] \leq \sum_{i \leq \binom{2d}{d}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[J_i, \Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}\Big]. \tag{77}$$

Let us treat the term $\mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\cap_{i=1}^d U_i, \Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}]$. We have by definition of Z^n

$$\mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d U_i, \Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}\Big] \leq \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d \Big\{ Z_i^{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}(1) > (u_i - sign(u_i)\delta') \Big\}, Z_1^{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}(t) > 0, t \in [0,1]\Big].$$

By Theorem 1.1 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y \in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d U_i, \Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}\Big] &\leq P^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}}}}\Big[W_1(1) > (u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta'), \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} W_1(t) > 0\Big] \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=2}^d P^{\frac{C_6 \varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sigma\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}}}}[W_i(1) > (u_i - sign(u_i)\delta')]. \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

Abbreviate $\varepsilon' := \varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon^{1/2})^{-1/2}$ and let us compute the first term of the right-hand side of (78) for sufficiently small ε. By the reflection principle for the Brownian motion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P^{\varepsilon'\sigma^{-1}}\Big[W_1(1) > (u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta'), \min_{0 \leq t \leq 1} W_1(t) > 0\Big] \\ &\quad = P^{\varepsilon'\sigma^{-1}}\Big[W_1(1) > (u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta')\Big] - P^{\varepsilon'\sigma^{-1}}\Big[W_1(1) < -(u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta')\Big] \\ &\quad = P\Big[W_1(1) > (u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta') - \varepsilon'\sigma^{-1}\Big] - P\Big[W_1(1) < -(u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta') - \varepsilon'\sigma^{-1})\Big] \\ &\quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta') - \varepsilon'\sigma^{-1}}^{(u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta') + \varepsilon'\sigma^{-1}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain, as $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y \in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d U_i, \Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor}\Big]$$

$$\leq \Big(\frac{2\varepsilon e^{-\frac{(u_1-sign(u_1)\delta')^2}{2}}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi(1-\varepsilon^{1/2})}}+o(\varepsilon)\Big)\prod_{i=2}^{d}\int_{(u_i-sign(u_i)\delta')-\frac{C_6\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sigma\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}}}}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}dt. \tag{79}$$

The other terms $\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[J_i, \Lambda_{n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor}]$ necessarily contain a term V_j for some $j \in [\![1,d]\!]$. Thus, we have for $J_i \neq \cap_{i=1}^d U_i$,

$$\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[J_i, \Lambda_{n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor}] \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d}\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[V_j]. \tag{80}$$

Let us bound the terms $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}}\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[V_j]$ for $j \in [\![1,d]\!]$. We start by writing

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}_\omega^y[V_j] &= \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\max_{i\leq\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor}|X_j(n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor)-X_j(n-i)| \geq \sigma\delta'\sqrt{n}\Big]\\
&= \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\max_{n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor\leq k\leq n}\Big|X_j(k)-X_j(n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor)\Big| \geq \sigma\delta'\sqrt{n}\Big]\\
&\leq \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\max_{n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor\leq k\leq n}\Big(X_j(k)-\min_{n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor\leq l\leq k}X_j(l)\Big) \geq \sigma\delta'\sqrt{n}\Big]\\
&\quad + \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\min_{n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor\leq k\leq n}\Big(X_j(k)-\max_{n-\lfloor\varepsilon^{1/2}n\rfloor\leq l\leq k}X_j(l)\Big) \leq -\sigma\delta'\sqrt{n}\Big]\\
&\leq \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\max_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq t\leq 1}\Big(Z_j^n(t)-\min_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq s\leq t}Z_j^n(s)\Big) \geq \delta'\Big]\\
&\quad + \mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\min_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq t\leq 1}\Big(Z_j^n(t)-\max_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq s\leq t}Z_j^n(s)\Big) \leq -\delta'\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty}\max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}}\mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\max_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq t\leq 1}\Big(Z_j^n(t)-\min_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq s\leq t}Z_j^n(s)\Big) \geq \delta'\Big]&\\
= P^{\varepsilon\sigma^{-1}}\Big[\max_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq t\leq 1}\Big(W_j(t)-\min_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq s\leq t}W_j(s)\Big) \geq \delta'\Big]&
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty}\max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}}\mathtt{P}_\omega^y\Big[\min_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq t\leq 1}\Big(Z_j^n(t)-\max_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq s\leq t}Z_j^n(s)\Big) \leq -\delta'\Big]&\\
= P^{\varepsilon\sigma^{-1}}\Big[\min_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq t\leq 1}\Big(W_j(t)-\max_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq s\leq t}W_j(s)\Big) \leq -\delta'\Big].&
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Observe that the right-hand sides of (81) and (82) are equal since $(-W_j)$ is a Brownian motion. Thus, let us compute for example the right-hand side term of (81). First, by the Markov property and since this event is invariant by space shifts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P^{\varepsilon\sigma^{-1}}\Big[\max_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq t\leq 1}\Big(W_j(t)-\min_{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}\leq s\leq t}W_j(s)\Big) \geq \delta'\Big]&\\
= P\Big[\max_{0\leq t\leq\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Big(W_j(t)-\min_{0\leq s\leq t}W_j(s)\Big) \geq \delta'\Big].&
\end{aligned}$$

By Lévy's Theorem (cf. [13], Chapter VI, Theorem 2.3), we have

$$P\Big[\max_{0\leq t\leq\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Big(W_j(t)-\min_{0\leq s\leq t}W_j(s)\Big) \geq \delta'\Big] = P\Big[\max_{0\leq t\leq\varepsilon^{1/2}}|W_j(t)| \geq \delta'\Big].$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\Big[\max_{0\le t\le \varepsilon^{1/2}} |W_j(t)| \ge \delta'\Big] &\le 2P\Big[\max_{0\le t\le \varepsilon^{1/2}} W_j(t) \ge \delta'\Big] \\
&\le 2P[|W_j(\varepsilon^{1/2})| \ge \delta'] \\
&\le 4P[W_j(\varepsilon^{1/2}) \ge \delta'].
\end{aligned}
$$

Using an estimate on the tail of the Gaussian law (cf. [12], Appendix B, Lemma 12.9) we obtain

$$
P\Big[\max_{0\le t\le \varepsilon^{1/2}} |W_j(t)| \ge \delta'\Big] \le \frac{4\varepsilon^{1/4}}{\delta'\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{(\delta')^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Big\}.
$$

Thus, we find

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[V_j] \le \frac{8\varepsilon^{1/4}}{\delta'\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{(\delta')^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Big\}.
$$

We finally obtain

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[V_i] \le \frac{8d\varepsilon^{1/4}}{\delta'\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{(\delta')^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Big\}. \tag{83}
$$

To sum up, combining (72), (74), (77), (79), (80), and (83), we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u \mid \Lambda_n] \\
&\le \frac{\Big(\frac{2\varepsilon e^{-\frac{(u_1 - sign(u_1)\delta')^2}{2}}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi(1-\varepsilon^{1/2})}} + o(\varepsilon)\Big) \prod_{i=2}^{d} \int_{(u_i - sign(u_i)\delta') - \frac{C_6\varepsilon^{1/2}}{\sigma\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt + \binom{2d}{d} \frac{8d\varepsilon^{1/4}}{\delta'\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{(\delta')^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Big\}}{\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} + o(\varepsilon)} \\
&\quad + 2(f(\varepsilon) + g(\varepsilon)).
\end{aligned} \tag{84}
$$

Let us now bound the term $\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u \mid \Lambda_n]$ from below. We have by the Markov property

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u \mid \Lambda_n] \\
&\ge \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n] \\
&\ge \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n] \\
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \sum_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \sum_{j\le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[Z^{n-j}(1) \in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}] \mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} = j, X(\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}}) = y] \\
&\ge \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \min_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \min_{j\le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y[Z^{n-j}(1) \in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}].
\end{aligned} \tag{85}
$$

We first decompose the term $(\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n])^{-1} \mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n]$ in the following way

$$
\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} = \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} - \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}
$$

$$= \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}(1 - \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid A_{0\to R}]). \tag{86}$$

Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid A_{0\to R}] &= \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n, A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]} \\ &\le \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n, \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]} \\ &= \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]} \\ &= \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]}{1 - \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]}. \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

For the term $\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]$, we have, recalling $N = \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \rfloor$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] \\ &\qquad = \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n, \tau_{\{N\}_1} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n, \tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] \\ &\qquad \le \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\max_{i\in[\![2,d]\!]} \sup_{j\le\tau_{\{N\}_1}} |X_i(j)| > C_6 \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} N \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}\Big] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]. \end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 we deduce

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] \le g(\varepsilon^{3/4}) + f(\varepsilon^{3/4}). \tag{89}$$

Indeed, for $\varepsilon > 0$ fixed let $n' = \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor$. Since $N = \lfloor \varepsilon\sqrt{n} \rfloor$ behaves like $\varepsilon^{3/4}\sqrt{n'}$ as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{N\}_1} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] = \limsup_{n'\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{\varepsilon^{3/4}\sqrt{n'}\}_1} > n' \mid \Lambda_{n'}].$$

Then since $\varepsilon \le 1$, we have applying Lemma 2.2

$$\limsup_{n'\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{\varepsilon^{3/4}\sqrt{n'}\}_1} > n' \mid \Lambda_{n'}] \le \limsup_{n'\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{\{\varepsilon^{3/4}\sqrt{n'}\}_1} > \varepsilon^{3/8} n' \mid \Lambda_{n'}] \le f(\varepsilon^{3/4}).$$

For the first term of the right-hand side of (88) apply the same reasoning as above.

For the term $\mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] &= \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \tau^+_{\{0\}_1} \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] \\ &\le \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (89) we obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_{\varepsilon^{1/2}n}] \le f(\varepsilon^{3/4}) + g(\varepsilon^{3/4}). \tag{90}$$

Going back to the term $(\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n])^{-1}\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]$ in (86), we write

$$\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} = \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n, A_{0\to R}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n, A^c_{0\to R}]}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n \mid A_{0\to R}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n, A^c_{0\to R}](\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}])^{-1}}\\
&\ge \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n \mid A_{0\to R}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n, A^c_{0\to R}](\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n, A_{0\to R}])^{-1}}\\
&= \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n \mid A_{0\to R}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_n](1-\mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_n])^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{91}
$$

By (71), we have

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[A^c_{0\to R} \mid \Lambda_n] \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n] \le f(\varepsilon) + g(\varepsilon).
\tag{92}
$$

Then, we have by the Markov property

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n \mid A_{0\to R}] &\le \mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid A_{0\to R}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid A_{0\to R}]\\
&\le \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \max_{j\le \varepsilon_n^{1/2}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_{n-j}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid A_{0\to R}]\\
&\le \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor}] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid A_{0\to R}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{93}
$$

Thus, by (91), (93), (87), (89), (90), and (92), we deduce

$$
\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \ge \Big(\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor}] + \frac{f(\varepsilon^{3/4})+g(\varepsilon^{3/4})}{1-f(\varepsilon^{3/4})-g(\varepsilon^{3/4})} + \frac{f(\varepsilon)+g(\varepsilon)}{1-f(\varepsilon)-g(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{-1}.
\tag{94}
$$

Combining (85), (86), (89), (90), and (94), we obtain $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n(1) \in D_u \mid \Lambda_n]\\
&\quad \ge \Big(\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor}] + \frac{f(\varepsilon^{3/4})+g(\varepsilon^{3/4})}{1-f(\varepsilon^{3/4})-g(\varepsilon^{3/4})} + \frac{f(\varepsilon)+g(\varepsilon)}{1-f(\varepsilon)-g(\varepsilon)}\Big)^{-1}\\
&\qquad \times \Big(1 - \frac{f(\varepsilon^{3/4})+g(\varepsilon^{3/4})}{1-f(\varepsilon^{3/4})-g(\varepsilon^{3/4})}\Big)\\
&\qquad \times \liminf_{n\to\infty} \min_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \min_{j\le \varepsilon^{1/2} n} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[Z^{n-j}(1) \in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}].
\end{aligned}
\tag{95}
$$

Analogously to (74) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n\to\infty} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega[\Lambda_{n-\lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n\rfloor}] &= P^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{1/2}}}}\Big[\min_{0\le t\le 1} W_1(t) > 0\Big]\\
&= P[|W_1(1)| < \varepsilon\sigma^{-1}(1-\varepsilon^{1/2})^{-1/2}]\\
&= \frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi(1-\varepsilon^{1/2})}} + o(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}
\tag{96}
$$

At this point, let us introduce more notations. Let $\delta' > 0$ be the constant used in the definitions of V_i and U_i (cf. (75) and (76)) and introduce

$$
E_i = \Big\{X_i(n) > \sigma(u_i + sign(u_i)\delta')\sqrt{n}\Big\}
$$

and

$$F_i = \Big\{ \max_{j \le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor} |X_i(n) - X_i(n-j)| \le \sigma \delta' \sqrt{n} \Big\}$$

for $i \in [\![1, d]\!]$. Observe that for all $y \in R_{\varepsilon,n}$ and $j \le \lfloor \varepsilon^{1/2} n \rfloor$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y [Z^{n-j}(1) \in D_u, \Lambda_{n-j}] &\ge \mathtt{P}_\omega^y \Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d (E_i \cap F_i), \Lambda_n \Big] \\ &\ge \mathtt{P}_\omega^y \Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d E_i, \Lambda_n \Big] - \sum_{i=1}^d \mathtt{P}_\omega^y [F_i^c]. \end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

By Theorem 1.1 and similar computations as those to derive equations (79) and (83), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \min_{y \in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}_\omega^y \Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^d E_i, \Lambda_n \Big] = \Big(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(u_1 + sign(u_1)\delta')^2}{2}} + o(\varepsilon) \Big) \prod_{i=2}^d \int_{(u_i + sign(u_i)\delta') + \varepsilon^{1/2}\sigma^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt \tag{98}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y \in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \sum_{i=1}^d \mathtt{P}_\omega^y [F_i^c] \le \frac{8d\varepsilon^{1/4}}{\delta'\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{(\delta')^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} \Big\}. \tag{99}$$

Combining (95), (96), (98), and (99), we obtain $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega [Z^n(1) \in D_u \mid \Lambda_n] \\ &\ge \Big(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi(1-\varepsilon^{1/2})}} + o(\varepsilon) + \frac{f(\varepsilon^{3/4}) + g(\varepsilon^{3/4})}{1 - f(\varepsilon^{3/4}) - g(\varepsilon^{3/4})} + \frac{f(\varepsilon) + g(\varepsilon)}{1 - f(\varepsilon) - g(\varepsilon)} \Big)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \Big(1 - \frac{f(\varepsilon^{3/4}) + g(\varepsilon^{3/4})}{1 - f(\varepsilon^{3/4}) - g(\varepsilon^{3/4})} \Big) \\ &\quad \times \Big(\Big(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(u_1 + sign(u_1)\delta')^2}{2}} + o(\varepsilon) \Big) \prod_{i=2}^d \int_{(u_i + sign(u_i)\delta') + \varepsilon^{1/2}\sigma^{-1}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} dt - \frac{8d\varepsilon^{1/4}}{\delta'\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{(\delta')^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} \Big\} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Finally, take $\delta' = \varepsilon^{1/8}$ and let $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (84) and (100) to prove (64). □

The next steps in showing that the f.d.d.'s converge are standard and we follow [9] and [8]. We start by recalling the transition density function of the Brownian meander (see [9]) from $(0,0)$ to (t, x_1)

$$q(0, 0; t, x_1) = t^{-3/2} x_1 \exp\Big(-\frac{{x_1}^2}{2t} \Big) \tilde{N}(x_1(1-t)^{-1/2}) \tag{101}$$

for $x_1 > 0$, $0 < t \le 1$ and from (t_1, x_1) to (t_2, x_2)

$$q(t_1, x_1; t_2, x_2) = g(t_2 - t_1, x_1, x_2) \frac{\tilde{N}(x_2(1-t_2)^{-1/2})}{\tilde{N}(x_1(1-t_1)^{-1/2})}$$

for x_1, $x_2 > 0$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 \le 1$, where

$$\tilde{N}(v) = \Big(\frac{2}{\pi} \Big)^{1/2} \int_0^v e^{-u^2/2} du$$

for $v \geq 0$ and

$$g(t,x_1,x_2) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\Big(\exp\Big(-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{2t}\Big) - \exp\Big(-\frac{(x_1+x_2)^2}{2t}\Big)\Big)$$

for x_1, $x_2 > 0$ and $0 < t \leq 1$.

Next, we will prove the following

Proposition 4.2 *We have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for $u_1 > 0$, $-\infty < a_i < b_i < \infty$, $i \in \llbracket 2, d \rrbracket$ and $0 < t < 1$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^n(t) \leq u_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^n(t) \in (a_i, b_i]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] = \int_0^{u_1} q(0,0;t,v)dv \prod_{i=2}^{d}\int_{a_i}^{b_i}\frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}dv. \tag{102}$$

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \leq u_1 - \varepsilon, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \in (a_i - \varepsilon, b_i + \varepsilon]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\qquad \leq \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^n(t) \leq u_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^n(t) \in (a_i, b_i]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \leq u_1 + \varepsilon, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \in (a_i + \varepsilon, b_i - \varepsilon]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$

for all sufficiently large n. Now, suppose that we have for all $u_1 \geq 0$, $a_i < b_i$ and $0 < t < 1$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \leq u_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \in (a_i, b_i]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] = \int_0^{u_1} q(0,0;t,v)dv \prod_{i=2}^{d}\int_{a_i}^{b_i}\frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{2t}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}dv. \tag{104}$$

Combining (103) and (104), we obtain (102) since the limit distribution $q(0,0;t,x_1)$ is absolutely continuous. Let us denote by $l = l(t,n)$ the quantity $(n\lfloor nt\rfloor^{-1})^{1/2}$. We recall that x is the vector of coordinates $(x_1,\dots,x_d)$. Then, observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \leq u_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \in (a_i, b_i]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \leq lu_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in (la_i, lb_i]\Big\}, \Lambda_{nt}, X_1(k) > 0, \lfloor nt\rfloor < k \leq n\Big] \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\int_0^{lu_1}\int_{la_2}^{lb_2}\cdots\int_{la_d}^{lb_d}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_i\Big\}, \Lambda_{nt}, X_1(k) > 0, \lfloor nt\rfloor < k \leq n\Big] \\
&\quad = \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_{nt}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\int_0^{lu_1}\int_{la_2}^{lb_2}\cdots\int_{la_d}^{lb_d}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[X_1(k) > 0, \lfloor nt\rfloor < k \leq n \mid Z_1^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_i\Big\}\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \times \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_i\Big\} \mid \Lambda_{nt}\Big] \\
&\quad = \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_{nt}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\int_0^{lu_1}\int_{la_2}^{lb_2}\cdots\int_{la_d}^{lb_d}\mathtt{P}_\omega^{x\sqrt{\lfloor nt\rfloor}}\Big[Z_1^n(s) > 0, 0 \leq s \leq 1 - n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor\Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \in dx_i\Big\} \mid \Lambda_{nt}\Big]. \tag{105}$$

By (68), (74), (94), and (96) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_{nt}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} = t^{-1/2}. \tag{106}$$

Using Theorem 1.1 and the first Dini theorem on uniform convergence, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega^{z\sqrt{\lfloor nt\rfloor}}\Big[Z_1^n(s) > 0, 0 \le s \le 1 - n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor\Big] = \tilde{N}\Big(z_1\Big(\frac{t}{1-t}\Big)^{1/2}\Big)$$

uniformly in z on every compact set of the form $[0, K] \times [-K, K]^{d-1}$. By Proposition 4.1, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \le x_1, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^{\lfloor nt\rfloor}(1) \le x_i\Big\} \mid \Lambda_{nt}\Big] = \exp(-x_1^2/2)\prod_{i=2}^{d}\int_{-\infty}^{x_i}\frac{e^{-\frac{v^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}dv.$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.18 of [9] to (105), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z_1^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \le u, \bigcap_{i=2}^{d}\Big\{Z_i^n(n^{-1}\lfloor nt\rfloor) \in (a_i, b_i]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big]$$
$$= \int_0^{u_1 t^{-1/2}}\int_{a_2 t^{-1/2}}^{b_2 t^{-1/2}}\cdots\int_{a_d t^{-1/2}}^{b_d t^{-1/2}} t^{-1/2}\tilde{N}\Big(x_1\Big(\frac{t}{1-t}\Big)^{1/2}\Big)x_1 e^{-x_1^2/2}\prod_{i=2}^{d}\frac{e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}dx_1\ldots dx_d.$$

Finally, make the change of variables $y = t^{1/2}x$ to obtain the desired result. □

The final step in showing convergence of the f.d.d.'s is

Proposition 4.3 *We have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for all $k \ge 1$, $u_i > 0$, $-\infty < a_j^i < b_j^i < \infty$, $i \in [\![1, k]\!]$, $j \in [\![2, d]\!]$ and $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k \le 1$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^{k}\Big\{Z_1^n(t_i) \le u_i, Z_2^n(t_i) \in (a_2^i, b_2^i], \ldots, Z_d^n(t_i) \in (a_d^i, b_d^i]\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big]$$
$$= \prod_{j=2}^{d}\int_{a_j^1}^{b_j^1}\cdots\int_{a_j^k}^{b_j^k}\frac{e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2t_1}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t_1}}\frac{e^{-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{2(t_2-t_1)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_2-t_1)}}\cdots\frac{e^{-\frac{(x_k-x_{k-1})^2}{2(t_k-t_{k-1})}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_k-t_{k-1})}}dx_k\ldots dx_1$$
$$\times\int_0^{u_1}\cdots\int_0^{u_k} q(0,0;t_1,x_1)q(t_1,x_1;t_2,y_2)\ldots q(t_{k-1},x_{k-1};t_k,x_k)dx_k\ldots dx_1. \tag{107}$$

Proof. The proof is by induction in k. This result holds for $k = 1$ by virtue of (102). Suppose (107) is true for $k = m - 1$, we show that it can be extended to $k = m$. Let $t_i' = n^{-1}\lfloor t_i n\rfloor$ and let

$$D_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 \le u_1, a_j^i < x_i \le b_j^i, j \in [\![2, d]\!]\}$$

for $i \in [\![1, m]\!]$. We mention here that in this proof, y^i for $i \in [\![1, m]\!]$ are all elements of $\mathbb{R}^d$ while y_i for $i \in [\![1, m]\!]$ belong to $\mathbb{R}$. By the same argument as in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 4.2, observe that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^{m}\{Z^n(t_i) \in D_i\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^{m-2}\{Z^n(t_i) \in D_i\}, \bigcap_{i=m-1}^{m}\{Z^n(t_i') \in D_i\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \tag{108}$$

provided that the limits exist. Then, we write for sufficiently large n

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^{m-2}\{Z^n(t_i)\in D_i\},\ \bigcap_{i=m-1}^{m}\{Z^n(t'_i)\in D_i\}\mid \Lambda_n\Big]\\
&\quad=\frac{1}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\int_{D_{m-1}}\int_{D_m}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z^n(t_1)\in D_1,\dots,Z^n(t_{m-2})\in D_{m-2},\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad Z^n(t'_{m-1})\in dy^{m-1},Z^n(t'_m)\in dy^m,X_1(1)>0,\dots,X_1(n)>0\Big]\\
&\quad=\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_{nt_{m-1}}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}\int_{D_{m-1}}\int_{D_m}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z^n(t_1)\in D_1,\dots,Z^n(t_{m-2})\in D_{m-2},Z^n(t'_{m-1})\in dy^{m-1}\mid\Lambda_{nt_{m-1}}\Big]\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\mathtt{P}_\omega^{y^{m-1}\sqrt{n}}\Big[Z_1^n(s)>0,0\le s\le t'_m-t'_{m-1},Z^n(t'_m-t'_{m-1})\in dy^m\Big]\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\times\mathtt{P}_\omega^{y^m\sqrt{n}}\Big[Z_1^n(s)>0,0\le s\le 1-t'_m\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{109}
$$

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[Z^n(t_1)\in D_1,\dots,Z^n(t_{m-2})\in D_{m-2},Z^n(t'_{m-1})\in D_{m-1}\mid\Lambda_{nt_{m-1}}\Big]\\
&\qquad=\prod_{j=2}^{d}\int_{a_j^1}^{b_j^1}\dots\int_{a_j^{m-1}}^{b_j^{m-1}}\frac{e^{-\frac{y_1^2}{2t_1}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t_1}}\frac{e^{-\frac{(y_2-y_1)^2}{2(t_2-t_1)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_2-t_1)}}\dots\frac{e^{-\frac{(y_{m-1}-y_{m-2})^2}{2(t_{m-1}-t_{m-2})}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_{m-1}-t_{m-2})}}dy_{m-1}\dots dy_1\\
&\qquad\times\int_0^{u_1t_{m-1}^{-1/2}}\dots\int_0^{u_{m-1}t_{m-1}^{-1/2}}q(0,0;t_1/t_{m-1},y_1)q(t_1/t_{m-1},y_1;t_2/t_{m-1},y_2)\dots\\
&\qquad\qquad q(t_{m-2}/t_{m-1},y_{m-2};1,y_{m-1})dy_{m-1}\dots dy_1.
\end{aligned}
\tag{110}
$$

On the other hand, by (106) we have $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_{nt_{m-1}}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]}=t_{m-1}^{1/2}.
\tag{111}
$$

Using Theorem 1.1 and the first Dini theorem on uniform convergence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega^{y^{m-1}\sqrt{n}}\Big[Z_1^n(s)>0,0\le s\le t'_m-t'_{m-1},Z^n(t'_m-t'_{m-1})\in D_m\Big]\\
&\qquad=\prod_{j=2}^{d}\int_{a_j^m}^{b_j^m}\frac{e^{-\frac{(y_m-y_j^{m-1})^2}{2(t_m-t_{m-1})}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_m-t_{m-1})}}dy_m\times\int_0^{u_m}g(t_m-t_{m-1},y_1^{m-1},v)dv
\end{aligned}
\tag{112}
$$

uniformly in y^{m-1} on every compact set of the form $[0,K]\times[-K,K]^{d-1}$, and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega^{y^m\sqrt{n}}\Big[Z_1^n(s)>0,0\le s\le 1-t'_m\Big]=\tilde{N}(y_1^m(1-t_m)^{-1/2})
\tag{113}
$$

uniformly in y^m on every compact set of the form $[0,K]\times[-K,K]^{d-1}$. Combining (108), (109), (110), (111), (112), (113), and using Lemma 2.18 of [9] twice, we obtain

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\bigcap_{i=1}^{m}\{Z^n(t_i)\in D_i\}\mid\Lambda_n\Big]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \prod_{j=2}^{d} \int_{a_j^1}^{b_j^1} \dots \int_{a_j^m}^{b_j^m} \frac{e^{-\frac{x_1^2}{2t_1}}}{\sqrt{2\pi t_1}} \frac{e^{-\frac{(x_2-x_1)^2}{2(t_2-t_1)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_2-t_1)}} \dots \frac{e^{-\frac{(x_m-x_{m-1})^2}{2(t_m-t_{m-1})}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_m-t_{m-1})}} dx_m \dots dx_1 \\
&\times t_{m-1}^{-1} \int_0^{u_{m-1}} \int_0^{u_m} \int_0^{u_1 t_{m-1}^{-1/2}} \dots \int_0^{u_{m-2} t_{m-1}^{-1/2}} q(0,0;t_1/t_{m-1},y_1) q(t_1/t_{m-1},y_1;t_2/t_{m-1},y_2) \dots \\
&\qquad q(t_{m-2}/t_{m-1}, y_{m-2}; 1, y_{m-1} t_{m-1}^{-1/2}) dy_{m-1} \dots dy_1 \\
&\qquad g(t_m - t_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m) \tilde{N}(y_m (1-t_m)^{-1/2}) dy_m. \qquad (114)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, make the change of variables $t_{m-1}^{1/2} y_1 = x_1, \dots, t_{m-1}^{1/2} y_{m-2} = x_{m-2}$ in (114) to obtain (107) for $k = m$. □

4.2 Tightness

In this section, to finish the proof of Theorem 1.2, we prove that the sequence of measures $(\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n \in \cdot \mid \Lambda_n])_{n \geq 1}$ is tight $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. First, we define the modulus of continuity for functions $f \in C[0,1]$:

$$
w_f(\delta') = \sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta'} \{\|f(s) - f(t)\|_\infty\}
$$

where $s, t \in [0,1]$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the ∞-norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$. By Theorem 14.5 of [10] it suffices to show that $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. for every $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$

$$
\lim_{\delta' \downarrow 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[w_{Z^n}(\delta') \geq \hat{\varepsilon} \mid \Lambda_n] = 0 \qquad (115)
$$

since $Z^n(0) = 0$. Now observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathtt{P}_\omega[w_{Z^n}(\delta') \geq \hat{\varepsilon} \mid \Lambda_n] &= \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{|t-s| \leq \delta'} \|Z^n(t) - Z^n(s)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\leq \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{|t-s| \leq 2\delta'} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \qquad (116)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \geq 2/\delta'$. Let $m := \lfloor 1/4\delta' \rfloor$ and divide the interval $[0,1]$ into intervals $I_k := [\frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]$, for $0 \leq k \leq m-1$. Additionally, consider the intervals $J_l := [\frac{2l+1}{2m}, \frac{2l+3}{2m}]$, for $0 \leq l \leq m-2$ and $J_{m-1} := \emptyset$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{|t-s| \leq 2\delta'} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\qquad \leq \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\Big\{\max_{k \leq m-1} \sup_{s,t \in I_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}\Big\} \\
&\qquad\qquad \cup \Big\{\max_{l \leq m-1} \sup_{s,t \in J_l} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}\Big\} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\qquad \leq m\Big(\max_{k \leq m-1} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t \in I_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\
&\qquad\qquad + \max_{l \leq m-1} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t \in J_l} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big]\Big) \qquad (117)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the convention that $\sup_{s,t \in \emptyset}\{\cdot\} = 0$. Our next step is to bound from above the $\limsup_{n \to \infty}$ of both terms in parentheses in the right-hand side of (117). As an example, let us treat the terms indexed by I_k for $k \in [\![1, m-1]\!]$. The term indexed by I_0 and those indexed by J_k, $k \in [\![1, m-1]\!]$ can be treated in a similar way. To do that, we will use the same approach as in the proof of Proposition 4.1. Analogously to (65) we have for $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\delta \in (0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\ &\leq (\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n])^{-1}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \leq \delta n m^{-1}\Big] \\ &\quad + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \delta n m^{-1} \mid \Lambda_n] + \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n]. \end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

Analogously to (67), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n])^{-1}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}, A_{0\to R}, \Lambda_n, \tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} \leq \delta n m^{-1}\Big] \\ &\leq \frac{\mathtt{P}_\omega[A_{0\to R}]}{\mathtt{P}_\omega[\Lambda_n]} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \max_{j\leq \lfloor \frac{\delta n}{m}\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I_k} \|X(nt-j) - X(ns-j)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that for all sufficiently large n

$$\max_{j\leq \lfloor \frac{\delta n}{m}\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I_k} \|X(nt-j) - X(ns-j)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}\Big] \leq \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I'_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}\Big] \tag{119}$$

with $I'_k = [\frac{k-2\delta}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]$. Now, let $I''_k = [\frac{k-3\delta}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}]$. By Theorem 1.1 and the estimate on the tail of the Gaussian law given in [12], Appendix B, Lemma 12.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I'_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}\Big] &\leq d\cdot P\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I''_k} |W_1(t) - W_1(s)| \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\Big] \\ &\leq 8d\cdot P\Big[W_1\Big(\frac{1+3\delta}{m}\Big) \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\Big] \\ &\leq 8d\frac{\sqrt{1+3\delta}}{\hat{\varepsilon}\sqrt{2\pi m}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}^2 m}{2+6\delta}\Big\} \\ &\leq \frac{16d}{\hat{\varepsilon}\sqrt{2\pi m}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}^2 m}{8}\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{120}$$

since $\delta < 1$. We obtain

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \max_{y\in R_{\varepsilon,n}} \max_{j\leq \lfloor \frac{\delta n}{m}\rfloor} \mathtt{P}^y_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I_k} \|X(nt-j) - X(ns-j)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n}\Big] \leq \frac{16d}{\hat{\varepsilon}\sqrt{2\pi m}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}^2 m}{8}\Big\}. \tag{121}$$

Thus, we have by (68), (71), (118), and (121)

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in I_k} \|X(nt) - X(ns)\|_\infty \geq \hat{\varepsilon}\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] \\ \leq \Big(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} + o(\varepsilon)\Big)^{-1}\Big(\frac{16d}{\hat{\varepsilon}\sqrt{2\pi m}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}^2 m}{8}\Big\}\Big) + f(\varepsilon) + g(\varepsilon) + \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \delta n m^{-1} \mid \Lambda_n]. \end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Combining (117) and (122) we find

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{|t-s|\le 2\delta'} \|X(nt)-X(ns)\|_\infty \ge \hat\varepsilon\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big]$$
$$\le 2m\Big(\frac{16d}{\hat\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi m}}\exp\Big\{-\frac{\hat\varepsilon^2 m}{8}\Big\}\Big(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}+o(\varepsilon)\Big)^{-1}$$
$$+ f(\varepsilon)+g(\varepsilon)+\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \delta n m^{-1} \mid \Lambda_n]\Big). \qquad (123)$$

Then, let $\varepsilon = m^{-3}$ and $\delta = m^{-1/2}$ in (123). We have by (71)

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \delta n m^{-1} \mid \Lambda_n] = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega[\tau_{R_{\varepsilon,n}} > \varepsilon^{1/2} n \mid \Lambda_n] \le f(m^{-3})+g(m^{-3}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\mathtt{P}_\omega\Big[\sup_{s,t\in\hat I_k}\|X(nt)-X(ns)\|_\infty \ge \hat\varepsilon\sigma\sqrt{n} \mid \Lambda_n\Big] = 0.$$

As $\hat\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, $m = \lfloor 1/4\delta' \rfloor$, using (116), this last expression proves (115) and consequently the tightness of the sequence $\big(\mathtt{P}_\omega[Z^n \in \cdot \mid \Lambda_n]\big)_{n\ge 1}$. □

Acknowledgements

This work was mainly done when N.G. was visiting Brazil in the end of 2010; this visit was supported by FAPESP (2010/16085–7). C.G. is grateful to FAPESP (grant 2009/51139–3) for financial support. S.P. and M.V. were partially supported by CNPq (grant 300886/2008–0 and 301455/2009–0). C.G., S.P., and M.V. also thank CNPq (472431/2009–9) and FAPESP (2009/52379–8) for financial support.

References

[1] M.T. Barlow, J.-D. Deuschel (2010) Invariance principle for the random conductance model with unbounded conductances. *Ann. Probab.* **38** (1), 234–276.

[2] N. Berger, M. Biskup (2007) Quenched invariance principle for simple random walk on percolation clusters. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **137**, 83–120.

[3] P. Billingsley (1968) *Convergence of Probability Measures* (1st ed.). Wiley, New York.

[4] M. Biskup, T.M. Prescott (2007) Functional CLT for random walk among bounded random conductances. *Elect. J. Probab.* **12**, paper No. 49, 1323–1348.

[5] T. Delmotte (1999) Parabolic Harnack inequality and estimates of Markov chains on graphs. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **15** (1), 181–232.

[6] F. Comets, S. Popov, G.M. Schütz, M. Vachkovskaia (2010) Quenched invariance principle for Knudsen stochastic billiard in random tube. *Ann. Probab.* **38** (3), 1019–1061.

[7] F. Comets, S. Popov, G.M. Schütz, M. Vachkovskaia (2010) Knudsen gas in a finite random tube: transport diffusion and first passage properties. *J. Statist. Phys.* **140**, 948–984.

[8] C. Gallesco, S. Popov (2010) Conditional and uniform quenched CLTs for one dimensional random walks among random conductances. *Available on Arxiv: 1011.1196.*

[9] D. Iglehart (1974) Functional central limit theorems for random walks conditioned to stay positive. *Ann. Probab.* **2** (4), 608–619.

[10] O. Kallenberg (1997) *Foundations of modern probability.* Springer, New York.

[11] P. Mathieu (2008) Quenched invariance principles for random walks with random conductances. *J. Statist. Phys.* **130** (5), 1025–1046.

[12] P. Mörters, Y. Peres (2010) *Brownian Motion.* Cambridge University Press.

[13] D. Revuz, M. Yor (1999) *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion.* Springer, Berlin.

[14] R. Rhodes (2010) Stochastic homogenization of reflected stochastic differential equations. *Electr. J. Probab.* **15**, 989–1023.